### **Agency Culture and Capacity Characterization Instrument**

The purpose of this Agency Culture and Capacity Characterization instrument is to help a team decide whether their agency or work unit (i.e. referent) is ready to undertake the more comprehensive Agency Self-Assessment tool and conduct a workshop to improve alignment with Wildlife Governance Principles. It uses opposing pairs of characteristics related to agency culture and some of the 8 stages of process change from "Leading Change" by John Kotter. Results are qualitative, not quantitative and are intended for use with a set of diagnostic questions to frame a discussion about readiness.

What level of your agency will you use for the referent? (in th	e following question you'll see the text [referent]
Think of the answer you put here as a substitute for that text	

Your referent:	

This instrument should take approximately 10 minutes to complete.

**Instructions**: Using the 5-point scale provided, please indicate where on the continuum you would place [referent] with respect to each characteristic.

### **Agency Culture**

Embraces change, encourages and facilitates improvement	0	0	0	0	0	Resists change; entrenched; tethered to past
Fair and equitable with all stakeholders	0	0	0	0	0	Biased toward and privileges particular special interests
Values diverse perspectives	0	0	0	0	0	Reluctance to consider new perspectives
Responsive to broad stakeholder concerns and interests	0	0	0	0	0	Indifferent to broad stakeholder concerns and interests
Innovative, inventive, forward thinking	0	0	0	0	0	Risk-averse, unimaginative, in a rut
Flexible, adaptable	0	0	0	0	0	Inflexible, rigid

#### **Vision for the Future**

Anticipates future conditions, proactive	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	Unprepared, reactionary
Has communicated a vision for the future	0	0	0	$\circ$	0	Has no articulated vision for the future
Strives to have the greatest impact for the greatest number of people	0	0	0	0	0	Satisfied with the current impact of the program
Aspires to provide benefits for diverse stakeholders	0	0	0	0	0	Satisfied with limiting benefits to traditional stakeholders

# Sense of Urgency

Has an established awareness and understanding of public trust thinking and good governance concepts	0	0	0	0	0	Has no awareness or understanding of public trust thinking and good governance concepts
Has an understanding of the need for improvement and the implications of striving for change	0	0	0	0	0	Has little understanding or acknowledgement of the need for improvement and change
Desires expanded funding base	0	0	0	0	0	Content with traditional funding base
Guiding Coalition						
Agency leaders have endorsed improving understanding of public trust thinking and good governance and assessing agency traits and practices relative to these ideas	0	0	0	0	0	Agency leaders have not endorsed improving understanding of public trust thinking and good governance and assessing agency traits and practices
Leaders are willing to commit support and resources to improve understanding of and agency alignment with public trust thinking and good governance norms	0	0	0	0	0	Leaders are unwilling to commit support and resources to improve understanding of and agency alignment with public trust thinking and good governance norms
Leaders have a strong level of commitment to improving alignment with public trust thinking and good governance norms	0	0	0	0	0	Leaders not committed to improving alignment with public trust thinking and good governance norms

## **Empowering Broad-based Action**

Fosters collaboration and information sharing	0	0	0	0	0	Works in silos, limited communication
Leaders encourage development of new ideas	0	0	0	0	0	Leaders discourage new ideas
A critical mass of staff is ready to explore ways to improve understanding of and agency alignment with public trust thinking and good governance norms	0	0	0	0	0	Insufficient number of staff ready to explore ways to improve understanding of and agency alignment with public trust thinking and good governance norms
Expertise, staff time, and funding are available for an endeavor to improve understanding of and agency alignment with public trust thinking and good governance	0	0	0	0	0	No expertise, staff time, or funding are available to improve understanding of and agency alignment with public trust thinking and good governance
Has a recent history of being reflective and adopting new behaviors	0	0	0	0	0	Has no recent history or precedent within the agency for being reflective or adopting new behaviors
Staff <u>have time</u> to think critically about their program's obligations to provide benefits for all people, now and in the future	0	0	0	0	0	Staff do not have time to think critically about their program's obligations to provide benefits for all people, now and in the future

## **Institutionalizing New Approaches**

Collaborates with diverse partners	0	0	0	0	0	Works in isolation
Open and transparent decision making	0	0	0	0	0	Decisions made behind closed doors, secretive
Accommodating and flexible in regards to process and policy changes	0	0	0	0	0	Neither accommodating nor flexible in regards to process and policy changes
Actively acquires new knowledge	0	0	0	0	0	Satisfied with dated knowledge and research
Searches for long-term solutions to systemic problems	0	0	0	0	0	Looks for quick-fixes rather than search for long-term solutions to systemic problems
Has a great deal of choice/discretion in the types of programs offered	0	0	0	0	0	Has little choice/discretion in the types of programs offered