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## Efficacy of alternative products for Phytophthora capsici in pumpkin, 2005.

The objective of this study was to evaluate several alternative products for their ability to control Phytophthora blight in pumpkin. SprayHandler reportedly affects soil structure and porosity, thus it might improve water drainage enough that conditions will not be favorable for the onset of Phytophthora blight. Companion and AgBlend are microbial products. An experiment was conducted at the Long Island Horticultural Research and Extension Center in a field of Haven loam soil where Phytophthora blight had developed in 1991 to 1993, 1995 to 1999, 2003, and 2004. On 22 Jul, the field was rototilled, 'Cotton Candy' pumpkin seed was planted by hand, and Strategy 2.1E ( $3 \mathrm{pt} / \mathrm{A}$ ) was applied for weed control. Nitrogen ( $34-0-0$ ) was side-dressed on 17 Aug. Each plot consisted of three $25-\mathrm{ft}$ rows of pumpkin with $24-\mathrm{in}$. plant spacing and $68-\mathrm{in}$ row spacing. The unplanted buffer zone between plots was 20 ft . A randomized complete block design with four replications was used. Fungicide applications were made on 9, 16, 22, 29 Aug, 6, 12, 19, 27 Sep and 3 Oct with a tractor-mounted boom sprayer equipped with D5-25 hollow cone nozzles spaced 17 in . apart that delivered 85 $\mathrm{gal} / \mathrm{A}$ at 100 psi . The insecticide Asana XL EC ( $9.6 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{A}$ ) was applied to control cucumber beetles on 21 Aug and 6 Sep. Powdery mildew was controlled with applications of Quintec $2.08 \mathrm{SC}(4 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{A})$ on 21 Aug and 19 Sep . During the season weeds were controlled by cultivation and hand weeding. The field was overhead irrigated (approx. 1.0 in .) on 22 Jul, 1 Aug, and 5 Aug when soil was dry due to inadequate rainfall. Average monthly high and low temperatures ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) were $81 / 61 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Jun}, 84 / 67 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Jul} 85 /$,69 in Aug, 79/62 in Sep, and 63/51 in Oct. Rainfall (in.) was 1.20, 1.36, 1.48, 3.46, and 20.32 for these months, respectively. Pumpkin canopy condition including percentage of foliage dieback was rated on 22 Sep . Fruit rot incidence (percentage of infected fruit per plot) was assessed on 26 Sep, and 3, 17, and 24 Oct. A square root transformation was used when needed prior to analysis to achieve homogeneity of variance.

Conditions were dry during most of the 2005 growing season and thus not conducive for Phytophthora blight. None of the treatments with alternative products had significantly lower percentage of fruit with Phytophthora rot than the nontreated control or significantly higher percentage of marketable fruit at the end of the experiment. Pumpkins treated with Acrobat 50 WP alternated with Phostrol 6.69 EC, a conventional fungicide program for Phytophthora blight in pumpkin, had significantly more marketable fruit than pumpkins that were nontreated or pumpkins that received most of the alternative product treatments. These fungicide-treated pumpkins had the lowest percentage fruit with Phytophthora rot, but this wasn't significantly different from the other treatments. Foliage dieback due primarily to downy mildew was significantly lower in the pumpkins treated with Acrobat and Phostrol than in all other treatments.

| Treatment and rate/A (application dates)* | 22 Sep | 24 Oct |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Downy mildew defoliation (\%) | Phytophthora fruit rot (\%) | Total healthy fruit |
| Acrobat 50 WP 6.4 oz ( $2,4,6,8)$ alt. |  |  |  |
| Phostrol 6.69 EC 5 pt ( $3,5,7,9$ )... | $5.3 \mathrm{~b}^{* *}$ | 1.5 | 34.8 a |
| SprayHandler $6 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz}+$ AgBlend $2 \mathrm{gal}(1,4,7)$ | 17.5 a | 10.4 | 27.0 ab |
| Companion $13.5 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{(1-9)}$ | 21.3 a | 23.8 | 23.8 bc |
| SprayHandler $6 \mathrm{fl} \mathrm{oz}(1,4,7)$ | 16.3 a | 14.8 | 24.3 bc |
| AgBlend 2 gal (1,4,7) | 21.3 a | 28.6 | 17.8 c |
| Nontreated ......................................................... | 20.0 a | 28.1 | 23.0 bc |
| Treatment $P$-value | 0.0048 | 0.2888 | 0.0274 |
| * Formulated product rate per acre. Applications were made on $1=1$ Sep, $8=19$ Sep, and $9=27$ Sep. | $\text { , } 2=9 \text { Aug, } 3=16$ | $4=22 \mathrm{Aug}, 5=29 \mathrm{Au}$ | $6=6 \mathrm{Sep}, 7=12$ |
| ** Numbers in each column followed by the same letter are not signi $\operatorname{LSD}(P=0.05) .$ | tly different fro | other according to | her's Protected |

