

B. M. Leno*, R. C. Neves⁺, I. M. Louge⁺, M. D. Curler[‡], M. J. Thomas[‡], T. R. Overton^{*}, and J. A. A. McArt[†] *Department of Animal Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14853, USA ⁺Department of Population Medicine and Diagnostic Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853

Introduction

- > Subclinical hypocalcemia (SCH) impacts health and performance of dairy cows and preventative strategies can improve outcomes but do not eliminate the disorder (Martinez et al., 2012, Chapinal et al., 2012, Leno et al., 2017)
- A single dose of oral Ca at parturition requires less labor and cow handling and may avoid some of the negative responses observed as a result of multiple dosing strategies (Martinez et al., 2016)

Objectives

Determine the effect of a single oral dose of Ca within 24 h after parturition on:

- 1) Health and performance outcomes with consideration of differential responses due to periparturient risk factors
- 2) Differential health and performance outcomes based on plasma Ca status prior to treatment assignment



associated with treatment.

Differential effect of a single dose of oral Ca based on postpartum plasma Ca concentration in Holstein cows

[‡]Dairy Health and Management Services, LLC, Lowville, NY 13367



group $(1^{st}, 2^{nd}, \ge 3^{rd})$ **BOL:** One dose of oral Ca (53-63 g of Ca in 3 boluses) **CON:** No intervention Quadrical, Biovet, Barneveld, WI **Data collection:** Blood sample collected prior to treatment assignment for plasma total Ca determination Body condition (BCS) and locomotion scores assigned between 0 and 10 DIM Health disorders [retained placenta (RP), metritis, mastitis, displaced abomasum (DA)], culling, reproduction and test day milk data collected from DairyComp 305 **Statistical analysis:** separate primiparous (**PP**; n = 987) and multiparous (**MP**; n = 2,962) multivariable models developed using Poisson regression with cows clustered by herd or repeated measures ANOVA with the random effect of herd **Objective 1)** Treatment, periparturient risk factors and relevant interactions considered and models derived with manual backwards stepwise elimination ($P \le 0.10$ required for inclusion) **Objective 2)** In addition to above predictors, Ca status was dichotomized at several thresholds (Figure 2) and forced into the model with the interaction with treatment. Models were developed at each threshold and the model with the treatment by Ca status interaction with smallest probability of

type I error is reported

Additional Ca treatment, metritis, mastitis, culling and pregnancy to 1st service were not



		Traatmant			10
	Level of	Ireatment		_	10
Outcome	Interaction	CON	BOL	P-value*	14
Objective 1					2 12
PP milk yield, kg/d	BCS > 3.5	31.7 ± 1.1	35.1 ± 1.1	0.003	ບ 10
	DCC > 277 ¹	31.9 ± 1.0	34.7 ± 1.0	0.0009	Bel 8
					6
MP milk yield, kg/d	DCC > 277 ¹	46.8 ± 1.2	47.7 ± 1.2	0.03	4
					2
Objective 2					0 -
PP milk yield, kg/d	> 2.2 mmol/L	32.1 ± 1.0	33.3 ± 1.0	0.03	Ca Statu
	≤ 2.2 mmol/L	34.2 ± 1.1	33.4 ± 1.1	0.32	Group
					Outcom
MP milk yield, kg/d	> 2.15 mmol/L	46.2 ± 1.2	45.8 ± 1.2	0.55	
	< 2.15 mmol/l	47 1 + 1 1	478+11	0.06	Figure 4.



by parity group

o 3,949 cows were enrolled on 6 commercial herds between February and December 2015 **<u>Treatment assignment</u>**: randomized to control (CON) or bolus (BOL) within 24 h of parturition by parity

Objective 1:

- Responses to a single dose of oral Ca were dependent on periparturient risk factors including lameness, BCS, age, and parity
- **Objective 2:**
- MP cows with lower plasma Ca responded to BOL with improved health
- PP and MP cows with higher Ca status had inconsistent responses to Ca supplementation
- Supplementation with a single dose of oral Ca could be targeted to lame cows, cows with high BCS, cows entering parity \geq 3, and PP cows with higher age at calving
- Measuring plasma Ca within 24 h after parturition has the potential to be a valuable tool for identifying MP cows to target with Ca supplementation

Chapinal et al. 2012. J. Dairy Sci. 95:1301-1309, Leno et al. 2017. J. Dairy Sci. 100:4604-4622, Martinez et al. 2012. J. Dairy Sci. 95:7158-7172, Martinez et al. 2016. J. Dairy Sci. 99:8397-8416, Oetzel et al. J. Dairy Sci. 95:7051-7065.





Conclusions & Implications