FRUIT INSECT AND MITE CONTROL STUDIES IN EASTERN NEW YORK

1989

R. W. Weires, Entomologist

D. Scott Lawson, Research Support Specialist

Catherine Engle, Summer Research Support Technician
Larry Mesic, Summer Research Assistant
Dan Borchert, Summer Research Assistant
Katherine Wright, Research Support Acarologist
Amy Blackinton, Research Support Acarologist
K. Shae Shenefield, Support Technician

Hudson Valley Laboratory
New York State Agricultural Experiment Station
Highland, New York 12528

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number
Materials Tested	1-2
Weather Data	3
Apple, Mite Control with Oil Sprays	4-11
Apple, Insect Control, HVL	12-16
Apple, Mite Control with Insecticides, HVL	17-19
Apple, Mite Control, Miticide Block, HVL	20-22
Apple, Insect & Mite Control, Milton	23-25
Apple, Early Season Control, Poughkeepsie	26-27
Apple, Early Season Control, Walden	28-29
Apple, Dicofol Resistance Studies	30-31
Pear, Insect & Mite Control, HVL	32-33
Pear, Comstock Mealybug Control, Marlboro	34-35

MATERIALS TESTED

Agri-Mek .15EC	Merck & Co.
Andalin 1.0 & 2.1L	Uniroyal Chemical Co.
Asana XL .66EC	E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co.
Carzol 90SP	Nor-Am America, Inc.
Danitol 2.4EC	Valent USA Corp.
DB-8518829 10SC	Dow Chemical U.S.A.
Dimilin 25WP	Uniroyal Chemical Co.
Dithane M-45 80WP	Rohm and Hass Co.
EF-667 5% EC	Dow Chemical U.S.A.
EL-436 1.5EC	Lilly Research Laboratories
Ethion 4M	FMC Corp.
Guthion 35WP	Mobay Chemical Corp.
Imidan 50WP	ICI Americas Inc
Kelthane 4F, 35WP	Rohm and Haas Co.
Larvin 3.2F	Rhône - Poulenc, Inc.
Lorsban 50WP	Dow Chemical U.S.A.
Manzate 200DF	E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co.
Metasystox-R 2SC	Mobay Chemical Corp.
Mitac 1.5EC	Nor-Am America, Inc.
Morestan 25WP	Mobay Chemical Corp.
Omite 6EC, 30WP	Uniroyal Chemical Co.
Orthene 75SP	Valent USA Corp.
Safer Insecticidal Soap Concentrate	Safer, Inc.
Savey 50DF	E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co.
Sevin 80SP	Rhône - Poulenc, Inc.
Sunspray 6E & 6E+, spray oils	Sun Oil Co.

Materials Tested (cont.)

Surfel	Rhône - Poulenc, Inc.
Supracide 2E	Ciba-Geigy, Inc.
Volck Supreme, spray oil	Valent USA Corp.
Vydate 2L	E.I. duPont de Nemours & Co.

1989 MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM TEMPERATURES AND PRECIPITATION Hudson Valley Laboratory, Highland, NY

All readings were taken at 0800 EST on the dates indicated

	1					1	
Precio		m e - z	Q < Q m (00-04	ОКОШК	t	
SEPTEMBER	54 43 43 43	53 53 62	69 61 54 58 57	56 57 57 58	64 67 38 32	4 3 3 4 4 8 4 8 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	
SEP		72 76 82 88	96 76 83 68	73 69 65 65	74 72 78 76	64 66 56 76	
Precio	0.07?	0.49	1.84 0.32 0.26	0.01	200	0.35	3.51
AUGUST Min	60 59 62 68 68 75	70 65 47 48 48	56 58 60 67 67	62 62 65 65 65	66 63 64 55	44 46 58 63 63	
Max	1	94 94 72 75	80 61 69 78 85	88 83 76 73	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	72 77 75 75 86 86	
Precin	0.13	0.65	0.08	0.05	0.33	0.06	1.98
Min	52 58 56 64 63	64 65 66 56 64	67 53 59 59	56 60 57 59 63	62 63 60 66 60	70 68 53 60 60	
Xe	880 800 800 800	68 80 90 78 86	87 78 78 78 79	80 74 74 82 86	68 70 86 87 90	8 8 8 4 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	
	-					r pr	
Precip	0.23	0.10 0.30 0.56 0.44 0.98	0.23 0.10 0.65	0.62	1.67	0.64 0.01 0.07	6.94
Mis GNE	64 62 56 63 46	61 59 59 56	54 58 54 52	55 56 56 57 60	66 63 63	60 67 62 54	
Wax	84 90 87 85 82	78 64 64 73 65	80 75 82 61 64	56 76 81 81 84	84 86 89 80 80	84 88 88 72	
Precip	1.32	2.08 0.01 0.26	2.00	2.82	0.01 0.02 0.61 0.52	0.04	10.48
MAY	84 84 87 72 74 75 75	51 38 38 48 88	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 0 0 0 0	52 54 56 56 57	62 47 47 53	57 58 42 41 54 62	
Max	74 64 67 60 71	58 74 58 54 67	50 65 65 65	74 56 78 86 87	88 82 74 59	81 77 68 70 78 73	
Precip	0.12 0.06	0.56	0.17	0.58 0.16 0.02		0.08	2.13
APRIL	37 29 42 37 43	45 36 39 37 26	25 28 30 31	31 38 32 32	38 37 30 39	35 44 46 46 46	S.
Max	44 47 58 48 66	62 53 53 74	55 55 55 55 55	46 48 47 66 60	69 74 60 60 60	65 72 72 64 55	tal Pre
Date	+ 0 € 4 to	6 8 9 0	- C C C 7 C	16 17 19 20	22 23 24 25 25	26 27 28 29 30 31	Monthly Total Precip

Apple aphid: Aphis pomi De Geer

European red mite: Panonychus ulmi Koch

Rosy apple aphid: Dysaphis plantaginea (Comstock)
A predatory coccinellid: Stethorus punctum LeConte

D. Scott Lawson & R. W. Weires Hudson Valley Laboratory N.Y.S. Agric. Exp. Station Highland, New York, 12528

APPLE, MITE CONTROL WITH PETROLEUM OILS AND INSECTICIDAL SOAP, MILTON, NY, 1989: The objectives of this study were to: 1) evaluate the efficacy of several petroleum oil products and an insecticidal soap concentrate on overwintering European red mite (ERM) eggs in laboratory dip tests; 2) compare the efficacy of the aforementioned products applied prior to the hatch of overwintering ERM eggs in field experiments; 3) determine the efficacy and potential feasibility of the aforementioned products for use in summer sprays for the control of mite populations; and 4) evaluate the effects of such products on the leaves and fruits of trees treated throughout the season.

METHODS: A 2.7 acre block containing 'Red Delicious', 'Rome beauty', and 'Spartan' apple cultivars was selected near Milton, Ulster County, NY, after finding a large population of overwintering ERM eggs present. Laboratory tests on ERM eggs found on the 'Red Delicious' cultivar were initiated on 8 Apr, using branches collected from the block the previous day. These branches were cut into approximately 4 inch sections and all of the ERM eggs on each segment of wood were counted using a dissecting microscope. The segments were then impaled on nails which had been driven through plexiglass boards. Each plexiglass board contained 3-5 segments with 50-150 eggs per segment or 400 eggs per board. Each plexiglass board was dipped in an appropriate treatment for three seconds, swirling the board until its contents were completely coated. The treatments included 1% and 2% solutions of Sunspray 6E, Sunspray 6E plus, Volck Supreme, and Safers Insecticidal Soap Concentrate. Treatments were mixed with distilled water and distilled water was used as the check solution. The treated boards were placed in plastic crispers with watersoaked sponges to provide humidity. The crispers were kept in a rearing room at 27°C, 80% RH, and 16:8 photoperiod. Eggs were checked for hatch and when hatch appeared to be completed in the checks, all the boards were counted for hatched ERM.

The Milton block was divided into 12 tree plots (.08 acre each) for treatments applied with a Friend® airblast sprayer. Three tree plots were used for the high pressure handgun plots applied with the same sprayer. All treatments, including untreated checks in both the handgun and airblast sections, were replicated three times. Treatments were applied to plots of the 'Red Delicious' cultivar, with the other cultivars serving as windbreaks between plots. Treatments applied with the airblast consisted of Sunspray 6E and Sunspray 6E plus both applied at dilute (239 gal/acre based on tree-row-volume) and concentrate (3X or 82 gal/acre) sprays. The dilute rate used was 1 gal of formulation per 100 gal of water, while the concentrate rate used was 3 gal per 100, resulting in per acre application rates of 2.4-2.5 gal per acre, respectively, for the first three applications of 16 Apr, 2 Jun, and 10 Jul. These rates were increased in the final summer (31 Jul) application to 2.8 (dilute) and 3.4 (concentrate) gal per acre. The handgun applications included both 1 gal and 3 gal rates per 100 gal for each of the materials tested, including Sunspray 6E, Sunspray 6E plus, Volck Supreme, and Safers Insecticidal Soap Concentrate. The handgun applications resulted in the delivery of 405 gal spray per application on a per acre basis, or rates of 4.1 (1 gal dilute) and 12.2 (3 gal dilute) gal of formulated product per acre per application. Handgun applications were made dilute to runoff using 350 psi on 16 Apr, 2 Jun, and 10 Jul.

Following the 26 Apr application, branches containing the treated eggs were cut from the middle tree in each plot. These branches were cut into sections and impaled on plexiglass boards in the same manner as in the previous laboratory trial. The field-treated segments were kept in crispers in the rearing room until hatch in the untreated checks was observed to be over. Hatched ERM were then counted on each board and the numbers recorded.

Mite populations were evaluated in the field by collecting 25 leaves per tree from one 'Red Delicious' tree per plot. These leaves were brought back to the laboratory where they were brushed and all the mites and mite eggs counted. These counts were made at approximately one-two week intervals throughout the season. In addition to the mite counts, two aphid species and a predatory lady bird beetle, *Stethorus. punctum* LeConte, were evaluated by examining 25 shoots per tree for live aphid colonies, or making a three minute count of all live adult beetles from one 'Red Delicious' tree per plot.

Bloom was evaluated by examining the stage of bloom on one 'Red Delicious' tree in each plot on 14 May. Leaves were rated for necrotic spotting by counting the number of spots on 10 to 25 leaves from one 'Red Delicious' tree per plot on 23 May, 20 Jul, 24 Aug. Fruit was evaluated at harvest by examining 100 apples from one 'Red Delicious' tree per plot on 7 Sep. Each fruit was rated twice, first for lenticel enlargement then for color loss or 'bleaching'. The same scale was used for each rating and ranged from 1-3, with 1 being the best (least enlarged lenticels or color loss) and 3 being the worst (most enlarged lenticels or greatest color loss) category.

RESULTS: The Sunspray 6E provided the greatest egg mortality in the laboratory dip test, followed by the Volck Supreme, and Sunspray 6E plus (table 1a). The Safers Insecticidal Soap Concentrate provided only slightly greater egg mortality than the check. The 2% solution of the Sunspray 6E plus was significantly more effective than the 1% solution. The field applications of the same materials provided significantly less control than the laboratory dip tests. The best control was obtained with the 3% solutions of each product applied with the handgun, followed by the 1% solutions applied by handgun, with the airblast applications giving the least control (table 1b). The Safers Insecticidal Soap Concentrate gave the least control among the various materials tested. During the season the airblast plots had the greatest ERM buildup, but the oil applications kept the mites from exceeding population levels which resulted in bronzing of the leaves in the check plots (table 2). Control of the two aphid species was greatest with the Insecticidal Soap applications, followed by the Sunspray 6E handgun applications (table 2). None of the treatments adversely affected the ladybird beetle predator (Stethorus punctum), which increased first in the checks then migrated into the remaining plots where it provided effective biological control of the mites late in the season.

No differences in bloom development were noted among any of the treatments. Necrotic lesions were most obvious where the 3% solutions were applied with the handgun, and appeared to be greatest where the Volck Supreme oil was used (table 3). This may have been due to the fact that West Coast oils (such as the Volck product) are usually more naphthenic than oils produced on the East Coast. Necrotic spotting, with leaf yellowing, followed by leaf drop, occurred in virtually all of the treatments. The yellowing occurred usually one week following the application, with the leaf drop being over approximately two weeks after the application. The exception to this was the Safers Insecticidal Soap Concentrate treatments, where the yellowing and leaf drop were one week behind the other treatments. Fruit finish ratings found that enlarged lenticels were least on the handgun check, greatest on the Safers 3 gal rate, and not much different on the rest of the treatments (table 4). Fruit color loss or 'bleaching' was greatest in the handgun treatments, especially those treated with the 3 gal rates.

Laboratory dip tests provided much greater mortality of the overwintering ERM eggs than did field applications of the same materials, while high pressure handgun treatments provided better control than the air blast treatments, leading us to conclude that the better the coverage the better the control of ERM with these products. The second obvious conclusion is that the more product used the better the control. Unfortunately, the choice for growers becomes a matter of balancing the risks of phytotoxicity, poor fruit finish, and increased costs, resulting from the use of higher per acre rates, with the advantages of greater, or longer lasting mite suppression. With respect to the individual products tested we would conclude the following: 1) Safers Insecticidal Soap Concentrate provided the least adequate ERM suppression, apparently due to its inability to control the egg stage, 2) although the Volck supreme oil provides suppression of ERM similar to that of the other materials, the risks of fruit finish or leaf injury is greatest with this material, and 3) the Sunspray 6E and 6E plus formulations provided similar levels of ERM suppression during the summer trials, but the 6E formulation gave better control of overwintering ERM eggs prebloom.

Table 1a. Overwintering European Red Mite Egg Dip Test (7 April)

Treatment	ml oil/ 3000 ml water	n	No. ERME* Hatched	% Hatch of ERME	X)
1 0 0 0 10	00.0	4000			
1. Sunspray 6E 1%	30.0 ml	1202	0	0.0a	
2. Sunspray 6E 2%	60.0 ml	1168	0	0.0a	
3. Sunspray 6E+ 1%	30.0 ml	1259	90	7.1b	
4. Sunspray 6E+ 2%	60.0 ml	1160	13	1.1ab	
Volck supreme 1%	30.0 ml	1194	1	0.1a	
Volck supreme 2%	60.0 ml	1261	10	0.8a	
7. Safers Insect. Conc. 1%	30.0 ml	1303	759	58.3cd	
8. Safers Insect. Conc. 2%	60.0 ml	1310	647	49.4c	
9. Check	60.0 ml	1263	869	68.7d	

Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p < 0.05; LSD). Percent data were transformed using the arcsine transformation. Untransformed data are presented.

* ERME = European red mite eggs.

Table 1b. Overwintering European Red Mite Egg Field Trial

Treatment	Spray /Acre (gal)	Rate form. /100 gal dilute	Rate form. /Acre	. Appl. date	п	No. ERME* Hatched	% ERME Hatch
Air Blast							
 Sunspray 6E 	239 gal	1.0 gal	2.4 gal	26 April	741	228	30.8de
2. Sunspray 6E	82 gal	3.0 gal	2.5 gal	26 April	1008	247	24.5cd
3. Sunspray 6E+	239 gal	1.0 gal	2.4 gal	26 April	923	277	30.0cd
4. Sunspray 6E+	82 gal	3.0 gal	2.5 gal	26 April	1158	394	34.0de
5. Check				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	855	605	70.8f
Handgun							
6. Sunspray 6E	405 gal	1.0 gal	4.1 gal	26 April	959	229	23.9cd
7. Sunspray 6E	405 gal	3.0 gal	12.2 gal	26 April	933	67	7.2a
8. Sunspray 6E+	405 gal	1.0 gal	4.1 gal	26 April	840	99	11.8ab
9. Sunspray 6E+	405 gal	3.0 gal	12.2 gal	26 April	820	54	6.6a
Volck supreme	405 gal	1.0 gal	4.1 gal	26 April	864	167	19.3cd
Volck supreme	405 gal	3.0 gal	12.2 gal	26 April	841	69	8.2ab
12. Safers Insect. Conc.	405 gal	1.0 gal	4.1 gal	26 April	774	330	42.6e
Safers Insect. Conc.	405 gal	3.0 gal	12.2 gal	26 April	1044	246	23.6d
14 Check				•	868	619	71.1f

Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p < 0.05; LSD). Percent data were transformed using the arcsine transformation. Untransformed data are presented.

* ERME = European red mite eggs.

Table 2.			Sea	eason lo	son long mite and insect evulations	and in	sect ev	ulations					
	Spray	Rate form	Rate		Mean	Mean number of mites* or eggs/leaf**	nites* or ec	ds/leaf**	75.	shoots w	Mean no. shoots with live aphid* colonies/25	iid* colonie	3S/25
	/Acre	/100 gal	form.	Appl.	23 May	av	31 May	av	1 Jun	_	Appl.	5 Jun	
Treatment	(gal)		/Acre	date	ERM	ERME	ERM	ERME	RAA	GAA	date	RAA	GAA
Air Blast									Angement Angelement of the Newton Contract of the State o	White the second			
 Sunspray 6E 	239	1.0 gal	2.4 gal	26 Apr	1.7def	0.9bcd	1.3ef	17.2cd	4.7bcd	11.0a	2 Jun	3.3bc	10.7b
2. Sunspray 6E	82	3.0 gal	2.5 gal	Apr	2.8fgh	1.2cde	1.2ef	19.6d	3.7abcd 12.0a	12.0a	2.Jun		11.0b
Sunspray 6E+	239	1.0 gal	2.4 gal	26 Apr	2.8fgh	1.3de		28.1de	1.3abc	14.0a	2 Jun	2.0ab	8.3b
4. Sunspray 6E+	85	3.0 gal		Apr	3.3fgh	1.7e	-	18.8cd	4.0abc	13.0a	2 Jun		9.3b
5. Check					4.3h	5.5t		43.4e	10.3de	15.7a			10.0b
Handgun													
6. Sunspray 6E	405	1.0 gal	4.1 gal	26 Apr	1.1abcde 0.5ab	90.5ab	0.6bcde	4.7ab	2.0abc	7.7a	2 Jun	2.7ab	1.3a
7. Sunspray 6E	405	3.0 gal 1	12.2 gal	26 Apr	0.3ab	0.1a	0.1a	2.5a	4.0bcde	12.3a	2 Jun	0.3ab	0.7a
8. Sunspray 6E+	405	1.0 gal	4.1 gal	26 Apr	1.5cdef	0.6abc	0.5abcd	10.5bc	5.0cde	12.0a	2 Jun	2.3abc	7.7b
Sunspray 6E+	405		12.2 gal	26 Apr	0.5abc	0.1a	0.3ab	5.5ab	0.3ab	12.3a	2 Jun	0.3ab	2.3a
 Volck supreme 	405	1.0 gal	4.1 gal	26 Apr	0.7abcd	0.2ab	0.2ab	3.8a	3.3abc	11.0a	2 Jun	1.7ab	8.7b
11. Volck supreme	405	3.0 gal 1	12.2 gal	26 Apr	0.3a	0.1a	0.2ab	2.5a	0.0a	9.3a	2 Jun	0.0a	3.3a
12. Safers Insect. Conc.		1.0 gal	4.1 gal	26 Apr	2.0efg	1.4de	1.1def	18.5cd	1.3abc	10.0a	2 Jun	0.0a	1.0a
13. Safers Insect. Conc.	nc. 405	3.0 gal 1	12.2 gal	26 Apr	1.3bcde	0.7bcd	0.5abc	15.4cd	1.7abc	15.0a	2 Jun	0.3ab	2.0a
14. Check			3		3.6gh	5.8f	1.0cdef	22.5d	13.3e	13.3a		14.3d	8.7b
					8.4			444					

			~	Mean number of mites* or eggs/leaf**	of mites* or eg	gs/leaf**			
	12 Jun	nn	27 Jun	u	Inf 6	In	Appl.	17 Jul	
Treatment	ERM	ERME	ERM	ERME	ERM	ERME	date	ERM	ERME
Air Blast									
 Sunspray 6E 	1.4de	1.9bcd	1.1cdef	13.5de	30.4de	71.6cde	10 Jul	2.1d	14.2c
2. Sunspray 6E	2.1ef	2.0bcd		10.0d	23.7de	64.5cde	10 Jul	1.3bcd	21.0c
3. Sunspray 6E+	4.3g	2.2cd	1.6ef	10.4d	39.5e	112.7ef	10 Jul	2.0cd	21.10
4. Sunspray 6E+	1.8e	2.6d	1.3def	12.6d	30.6de	82.8de	10 Jul	0.5ab	13.50
5. Check	10.4h	7.2e	7.39	21.7ef	88.4f	214.0f	i)	24.7e	82.5d
Handgun									
6. Sunspray 6E	0.2abc	0.6ab	0.4abcd	3.4c	6.1bc	24.9b	10 Jul	0.3ab	1.9ab
7. Sunspray 6E	0.1a		0.3abc	1.9b	3.4ab	13.0a	10 Jul	0.0a	0.6a
8. Sunspray 6E+	0.8bcd	0.5ab	0.8bcde	3.7c	6.7bc	23.2b	10 Jul	0.6abc	2.4ab
Sunspray 6E+	0.1a	0.3a	0.0a	1.0ab	2.3a	9.2a	10 Jul	0.1a	0.8a
Volck supreme	0.2ab	0.7ab	0.1ab	1.6ab	3.0ab	17.9ab	10 Jul	0.2ab	1.3ab
 Volck supreme 	0.1a	0.2a	0.1ab	0.6a	1.9a	11.7a		0.2ab	1.1ab
12. Safers Insect. Conc.	3.1fg	1.1abc	2.5f	13.4de	14.7cd	31.0bc	10 Jul	0.5ab	3.9b
13. Safers Insect. Conc.	0.7cd	0.3a	0.8abcde	4.8c	14.2cd	40.4bcd	10 Jul	0.0a	0.8ab
14. Check	13.0h	2.4cd	11.2g	23.9f	51.4ef	198.9f	*	17.0e	63.6d
Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (n < 0.05:1.SD)	he same letter	are not sinnifi	cantly different	(05 1 SD)	Data word tr	Data ware transformed to loc . 1 \ 1 or sales	/Y . 1 \ for 200	hoio	

I reatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p< 0.05; LSD). Data were transformed to $\log_{10}(X+1)$ for analysis.

Untransformed data are presented.

* ERM = European red mite, ERME = ERM eggs, RAA = Rosy apple aphid, GAA = Green apple aphid.

** Based on 25 leaves/ tree, from one Red Delicious tree in each of three replicates/ treatment.

Table 2. cont.

	ı																	
	Alia	ERME		0.7a	1.33	1.3a	7.	5.0b		0.33	103	0.5a	0.9a	2	0.5a	1.4a	0.4a	2.0a
	24 Aug	ERM		0.3a	0.19	0.3a	0.3a	0.29		0.4a	0.7a	0.3a	0.5a	0.2a	0.5a	0.2a	0.3a	0.1a
	7 Aug	ERME		6.2cdef	4.5bcdef	2.1abcd	10.4fg	17.3a	0	5.8bcdef	2.8abcde	2.3abcde	1.5ab	2.1abc	0.6a	7.5defa	5.0bcdef	9.0efg
eoos/eaf**		ERM		2.7a	1.6a	1.12	1.6a	1.48		2.5a	0.9a	1.4a	0.4a	1.0a	0.4a	2.2a	2.9a	2.3a
Mean number of mites* or ecos/lear*	1 Aug	date*** S. punctum****		6.3d	9.0d	5.7cd	2.3bcd	20.3e		0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.3a	0.0a	1.3abc	0.7ab	33.7e
Mea	Appl.	date*** 9		31 Jul	31 Jul	31 Jul	31 Jul							0				О
	_	ERME		32.9e	25.0e	7.3bcd	9.8cde	12.5de		2.4ab	1.3ab	1.3ab	4.7abc	4.4abcd	1.2a	2.7abc	2.0ab	9.2abcc
	30 Jul	ERM		2.2abcde	3.2cde	5.5e	3.4de	e.6e		0.6abcd	0.2a	0.3a	0.6abcd	0.3ab	0.1a	0.3a	0.5abc	2.8bcde
Rate	form.	/Acre		2.8 gal	3.4 gal	2.8 gal	3.4 gal											
Rate form.	/100 gal	dilute		1.0 gal	3.0 gal	1.0 gal	3.0 gal											
Spray	/Acre	(gal)		283	112	283	112									onc.	onc.	
		Treatment	Air Blast	 Sunspray 6E 	2. Sunspray 6E	Sunspray 6E+	Sunspray 6E+	5. Check	Handgun	6. Sunspray 6E	Sunspray 6E	Sunspray 6E+	Sunspray 6E+	Volck supreme	 Volck supreme 	12. Safers Insect. Conc.	13. Safers Insect. Conc.	14 Check

Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p < 0.05; LSD). Data were transformed to $\log_{10}(X + 1)$ for analysis.

Untransformed data are presented.

* ERM = European red mite, ERME = ERM eggs.

** Based on 25 leaves/ tree, from one Red Delicious tree in each of three replicates/ treatment.

*** July 31 application was only applied to the air blast portion of the study.

*** Based on one three minutes samples for adult Stethorus punctum from one Red Delicious tree in each of three replicates/treatment.

Oil Test Leaf Injury Evaluation and Bloom Ratings

Table 3.

	ons/leaf***	64 Aug	0.13ab	0.13ab	0.48ab	0.16ab	0.07a	3	0.16ab	1 700	2000	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	10101	7.84P	0.27ah	0.71bc	0.17ab
	Mean No. necrotic lesions/leaf***	20 041	1.33a	0.53a	0.83a	1.60a	1,778	3	0.43a	15 23hc	0.000	13 93h	0.00	29.870	0.70a	19.97bc	1.90a
	Mean No.	=======================================	2.07a	3.73a	0.97a	1.73a	1.93a	5	3.13a	3 832	100.1	3 773	1.032	1.10a	2.23a	1.90a	1.93a
	Bloom Rating** 14 May		3.0a	2.7a	3.0a	3.0a	3.0a		3.0a	3.0a	3.09	300	3.09	3.0a	3.0a	3.0a	3.0a
	-				2.8 gal	3.4 gal											
Appl. date	31 Jul.* Gal. spray/A Gal form./A				283 gal	112 gal											
date	Jun, 10 Jul Gal form./A		2.4 gal		2.4 gal				4.1 gal				4.1 gal				
Appl. date	16 Apr, 2 Jur Gal sprav/A G		239 gal	82 gal		82 gal			405 gal			405 gal	405 gal				
	Rate form. /100 qal dilute		1.0 gal	3.0 gal	1.0 gal	3.0 gal			1.0 gal	3.0 gal	1.0 gal	3.0 gal	1.0 gal	3.0 gal	1.0 gal	3.0 gal	
	Treatment /1	Air Blast	1. Sunspray 6E	2. Sunspray 6E	3. Sunspray 6E+	4. Sunspray 6E+	5. Check	Handgun	6. Sunspray 6E	7. Sunspray 6E	8. Sunspray 6E+	9. Sunspray 6E+	 Volck supreme 	 Volck supreme 	12. Safers Insect. Conc.	13. Safers Insect. Conc.	14. Check

Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p < 0.05; LSD). Data were transformed using a log₁₀(X) transformation.

Untransformed data are presented.
* July 31 application was only applied in air blast portion of study.
** Bloom data based on scale of 0-3 with; 0 = pink, 1= full pink, 2 = bloom, 3 = full bloom, from a visual rating of one Red Delicious tree in each of three

replicates/treatment.
*** Based on the number of necrotic lesions from 10 spur (23May), 10 shoot (20 July) and 25 spur (24 Aug) leaves per tree, from one Red Delicious tree in each of three replicates/treatment.

Fruit Finish Ratings (7 Sep)

			date	Appl.		- 0	7.0
	Rate form./		Jun,10 Jul		Jul*	7 Sep	7 Sep
Treatment 1	100 gal dilute	Gal spray/A	A Gal form/A	Gal spray/A	Gal form./A	Enl. Lenticels**	Color Loss***
Air Blast						S 8	
1. Sunspray 6E	1.0 gal	239 gal	2.4 gal	283 gal	2.8 gal	1.9a	1.5ab
2. Sunspray 6E	3.0 gal	82 gal	2.5 gal	112 gal	3.4 gal	1.8a	1.7abcd
3. Sunspray 6E+	1.0 gal	239 gal	2.4 gal	283 gal	2.8 gal	1.8a	1.5ab
4. Sunspray 6E+	3.0 gal	82 gal	2.5 gal	112 gal	3.4 gal	1.9a	1.6abc
5. Check						1.8a	1.4ab
Handgun							
6. Sunspray 6E	1.0 gal	405 gal	4.1 gal			1.9a	1.8bcd
7. Sunspray 6E	3.0 gal	405 gal	12.2 gal			1.8a	2.1d
8. Sunspray 6E+	1.0 gal	405 gal	4.1 gal			1.9a	1.9cd
9. Sunspray 6E+	3.0 gal	405 gal	12.2 gal			1.8a	2.1d
10. Volck supreme	1.0 gal	405 gal	4.1 gal			1.7a	1.7bcd
11. Volck supreme	3.0 gal	405 gal	12.2 gal			1.7a	2.7e
12. Safers Insect. Cond		405 gal	4.1 gal			1.8a	1.7abcd
13. Safers Insect. Cond		405 gal				2.3a	2.1d
14. Check		3 ,	3			1.6a	1.2a

Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p < 0.05; LSD).

* July 31 application was only applied in air blast portion of study.

** Enlarged lenticel rating is based on a scale ranging from 1-3 with 1 being an apple with few small, smooth lenticals and 3 being an apple with many large, raised white lenticels. An average of these numbers from one hundred fruits from each of three replicates/treatment is reported.

*** Color loss ratings is based on a scale ranging from 1 - 3 with 1 being a deep red color and 3 being a bleached, hazy red color. An average of these numbers from one hundred fruits from each of three replicates/treatment is reported.

Apple aphid: Aphis pomi De Geer

Apple maggot: Rhagoletis pomenella (Walsh) Codling moth: Laspeyresia pomonella (L.)

European apple sawfly: Hoplocampa testudinea (Klug)

Green fruitworm: Lithophane antennata (Walker)
Plum curculio: Conotrachelus nenuphar (Herbst)
Rosy apple aphid: Dysaphis plantaginea (Passerini)
San Jose scale: Quadraspidiotus perniciosus (Comstock)

Spirea aphid: Aphis citricola Van der Goot

Spotted tentiform leafminer: Phyllonorycter blancardella (Fabr.)

Tarnished plant bug: Lygus lineolaris (P. de B.) Variegated leafroller: Platynota flavedana Clemens White apple leafhopper: Typhlocyba pomaria McAtee

APPLE, INSECT CONTROL, HUDSON VALLEY LAB, HIGHLAND, NY, 1989: Treatments were applied to eight tree plots replicated three times in a randomized complete block design. All treatments were applied dilute to runoff using a high-pressure handgun sprayer at 400 psi delivering from 2.7 to 4.2 gal spray/tree or 262 to 407 gal/acre, depending upon foliage present and weather conditions. Trees were 25 years-old, 10 ft high, spaced 15 by 30 ft, and on the EMII rootstock. The EXP-60145A treatments were all tested in a seasonal program with sprays applied at pink, 4 May, petal fall, 22 May, and in cover sprays on 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, and 24 Aug. DB-8518829 was applied 4 May, 22 Jun, and 8 Aug, while Imidan was applied at 24.0 oz/100 gal on 22 May and 7 Jun, and 16.0 oz/100 gal on 7 Jul, 24 Jul, and 24 Aug. Danitol was applied 4 May, 22 May, 7 Jul, and 8 Aug, with Guthion applied in the remaining cover sprays. Orthene was applied 4 May and 7 Jun with Guthion applied in the remaining sprays. Additional treatments included: Morestan applied 4 May along with Guthion and followed by Guthion in all succeeding sprays; Andalin 2.1 L applied at the 4 and 8 oz/100 gal rates on 22 June with Guthion in all other sprays starting at 22 May; Andalin 1L at 8 oz on 22 Jun followed by Andalin 2L 8 oz/100 gal on 24 Jul, with Guthion in other cover sprays; and Safer Insecticidal Concentrate plus Sunspray oil 6E+ applied 22 May, 7 Jun, and 7 Jul. Applications over the entire block primarily for disease control included: Agway Spray oil 6E 1 gal/100 plus Supracide 2E 1 qt/100 gal plus Difolatan 80S 5 lb/100 gal, 11 Apr; Rubigan 1EC 3.0 oz/acre plus Dithane M45 80W 1.5 lb/acre, 4 May and 14 May; Rubigan 1EC 9 oz/acre plus Dithane M45 80W 6 lb/acre, 24 May and 1 Jun; Nova 60W 2 oz/acre, 8 Jun; Polyram 80DF 4 lb/acre, 23 Jun; Manzate 200 80DF 4 lb/acre, 15 Jul; and Benlate 50W 6 oz/acre plus Captan 50W 6 lb/acre, 17 Aug. Naphthaleneacetic acid (Klingtite® formulation) was applied in thinning sprays on 25 May using from 42 to 96 ml/100 gal, depending upon the cultivar and apparent fruit set. European apple sawfly and plum curculio were evaluated prior to "Jun drop" by examining all of the fruits in 50 fruiting clusters on one "Northwest Greening" tree/plot on 5 Jun. Apple aphid and/or spirea aphids were evaluated by examining 25 terminals for the presence of live aphid colonies on one "McIntosh" tree/plot on 2 Jun and 10 Jun. Rosy apple aphids were evaluated in a similar manner on 2 Jun using "Cortland" as the cultivar. Spotted tentiform leafminer was evaluated 19 Jun by counting the number of mines on 25 "McIntosh" leaves/plot. White apple leafhopper was evaluated by counting the number of nymphs on 25 "Empire" leaves/plot on 14 Jun. Insect damage to the fruit was assessed at harvest by examining 100 fruits/cultivar/plot from "Jersey Mac", 4 Aug; "McIntosh", 18 Sep; and "Golden Delicious", 26 Sep. "Golden Delicious" fruit finish was evaluated using a russet rating from 1 (best finish) to 3 (worst finish). Temperatures were generally cooler than normal due to the continually overcast conditions found during much of the summer. An extended bloom period resulted in greater than normal insect pressure especially from European apple sawfly and plum curculio. Rainfall was above normal during May, Jun and Aug.

R. W. Weires & D. Scott Lawson

Hudson Valley Laboratory

N.Y.S. Agric. Exp. Station

Highland, New York, 12528

Danitol provided excellent control of the white apple leafhopper and spotted tentiform leafminer. The EXP-60145A material also provided excellent leafhopper and leafminer control on a rate basis, i.e. the highest rate providing the best control. The danitol and EXP-60145A treatments gave the best overall control of insects damaging the fruit, most notably plum curculio and European apple sawfly, but both materials appeared to be weak against San Jose scale. The EXP-60145A treatments also had more apple maggot injury than did the others. The Safer Insecticidal Soap Concentrate plus Sunspray oil combination was discontinued when severe injury to the fruit and foliage were noted. This injury apparently resulted when the thinning spray of Klingtite® was applied on 25 May following the 22 May Soap and oil application.

Mean Apple	Mean no, infested terminals/25 Apple aphid/		Mean no. infested fruit clusters/50	fruit clusters/ 50	Mean no, nymphs or mines/ 25 leaves White apple Spotted tentiform	s or mines/ 25 leaves
UC 2 McInt	spirea aphid n 10 Jul osh McIntosh	Rosy apple aphid 2Jun Cortland	E. apple sawfly 5 Jun Greening	Plum curculio 5 Jun Greenina		leafminer 19 Jun Molatosh
1. DB-8518829 10SC	6.0a	1.3a	3.3bcd	7.7a		8.7cd
2. Danitol 2.4EC 5.3 oz4 May,22 May, 7 Jul, 8 Aug Guthion 35W8.6 oz7 Jun, 22 Jun, 24 Jul, 24 Aug7.3a	0.0a	0.7a	0.7a1.7abc	8.3a	0.3a	0.0a
 Orthene 75SP5.3 oz4 May, 7 Jun Guthion 35W8.6 oz22 May, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, Aug, 24 Aug20.7d 	7.7a	0.0a	4.7cd	7.7a	2.0ab6.7bcd	.6.7bcd
 Morestan 25W	5.0a	0.7a9.0de	9.0de	3.7a	21.3e7.3cd	7.3cd
5. EXP-60145A	12.0a	0.7a	0.7a1.0ab	4.7a	4.0bc	3.3bcd
6. EXP-60145A2.0 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug12.0abcd	7.0a	0.0a	0.3a	2.3a	1.7ab	2.0ab
7. EXP-60145A4.0 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug11.0abc	5.7a	0.0a	0.0a	3.3a	0.3a0.0a	0.0a
8. Andalin 2.1L	6.7a	1.3a6.0cd	6.0cd	16.7a	17.3e3.3abc	3.3abc
9. Andalin 2.1L8.0 oz22 Jun, 8 Aug Guthion 35W8.6 oz22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 24 Aug14.7cd	5.3a	1.7a	7.0cd	14.7a	14.3e	7.3od
10. Andalin 1.0L	6.3a	1.0a	4.7bcd	8.3a	10.7de5.3bcd	5.3bcd
11. Safer Insecticide conc128.0 oz22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul + SunSpray oil 6E+128.0 oz22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul7.7ab	6.3a	0.7a	17.3ef	31.7a	3.7bcd3.7abcd	3.7abcd
12. Check	13.3a	1.0a	27.3f	20.3a	7.3cde10.3d	10.3d
Heatment ineans followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p< 0.05 ; LSD).	-SD). Data w	ere transformed to	log ₁₀ (X + 1) for a	nalysis. Untransf	Data were transformed to $log_{10}(X + 1)$ for analysis. Untransformed data are presented	ted.

Comment of the contract of the				Green	ın Green San	San		Apple	1	
	Tarnished plant bug	Plum curculio	apple sawfly	fruit	Codling moth	Jose '	Variegated leafroller	maggot punct.	_	% Clean fruit
	6.7cd	31.7bcd	18.3de	1.3a	0.7a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	-0.0a	47.0abcd
Aug 24 Aug	Danitol 2.4EC5.3 oz4 May,22 May, 7 Jul, 8 Aug Guthion 35W8.6 oz7 Jun, 22 Jun, 24 Jul, 24 Aug2.7abc	23.3abcd	8.0abc	1.0a	0.0a	0.3a	0.0a	0.3a	0.0a	68.3cde
7 Jul, 4 Aug	3.3bc	21.3abc	13.7bcde	1.0a	0.3a	0.0a	0.0a	1.0a	1.0a	61.3cde
ı, 22 Jun, 24 Aug	Morestan 25W8.0 oz4 May Guthion 35W8.6 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug5.0bcd	24.3abcd	24.3abcd 13.3bcde	0.7a	0.7a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	59.3cde
22 Jun, 4 Aug		11.0ab	10.3abcd	1.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.3a	0.3a	0.3a	73.0de
EXP-60145A2.0 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug	5.0bcd	13.7ab	6.7ab	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.7a	0.3a	75.3e
7. EXP-601A4.0 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug,.24 Aug9.0d	,9.0d	8.3a	6.3a	0.7a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	1.0a	0.7a	76.0e
	2.0ab	23.7abcd 18.7e	18.76	0.0a	0.0a	0.3a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	59,3cde
	5,3cd	31.7bcd 16.0de	16.0de	1.3a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	51.7bcde
	6.3cd	40.3cd	14.7cde	0.7a	0.3a	0.0a	0.3a	0.0a	0.0a	46.0abc
	0.7a	52.0d	19.0e	2.0a	10.0b	0.0a	5.3b	1.0a	1.0a	33.3ab
12. Check3.0abc 42.7cd	3.0abc	42.7cd	38.7f	2.7a	12.7b	0.3a	3.0b	2.7a	2.3a	25.3a

% Injured Fruit (McIntosh) 18 Sept.

	Application dates	Tarnished plant bug	E Plum curculio	European (apple sawfly	Green fruit worm	Codling	San Jose Va scale le	Variegated r	Apple maggot r	Apple maggot %	% Clean
 DB-8518829 10SC1.2 oz4 May, 22 Jun, 8 Aug Imidan 50W24.0 oz22 May, 7 Jun Imidan 50W16.0 oz7 Jul, 24 Jul, 24 Aug 	.4 May, 22 Jun, 8 Aug .22 May, 7 Jun .7 Jul, 24 Jul, 24 Aug	2.3a	14.3ab	19.7bcd	0.7a	0.0a	g			0.0a	64.0bc
2. Danitol 2.4EC5.3 oz4 May,22 May, 7 Jul, 8 Aug Guthion 35W8.6 oz7 Jun, 22 Jun, 24 Jul, 24 Aug	.4 May,22 May, 7 Jul, 8 Auç .7 Jun, 22 Jun, 24 Jul, 24 <i>ı</i>	3 Aug2.7a	13.7ab	7.7ab	1.0a	0.0a	4.0abcde	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	74.3c
 Orthene 75SP5.3 oz4 May, 7 Jun Guthion 35W8.6 oz22 May, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug 	.4 May, 7 Jun .22 May, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug	2.7a	13.3ab	20.3bcd	0.3a	0.0a	5.7bcde	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	62.0bc
 Morestan 25W8.0 oz4 May Guthion 35W8.6 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug 	.4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 . 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Au	.2 Jun, Aug8.3a	9.3a	16.0abcd 0.3a	0.3a	0.3a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	66.7bc
5. EXP-60145A1.0 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug	.4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 . 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Au	2 Jun, Aug5.3a	18.7ab	19.3bcd	0.0a	0.0a	8.0cde	1.0ab	0.0a	0.0a	57.3bc
6. EXP-60145A2.0 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 July, 8 Aug	.4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 . 7 July, 24 July, 8 Aug	Jun, 6.3a	8.0a	4.0a	0.0a	0.3a	9.0de	0.3a	2.7a	2.0a	72.3c
7. EXP-60145A4.0 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug	.4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 J 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug,24 Au	Jun, g6.3a	9.0a	24.7cd	1.0a	0.0a	9.3e	0.3a	0.0a	0.0a	56.0bc
8. Andalin 2.1L	.22 Jun, 8 Aug .22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 24 Aug	9.3a	29.7bcd	15.0abcd 2.3a	2.3a	0.7a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	49.7b
9. Andalin 2.1L8.0 oz22 Jun, 8 Aug Guthion 35W8.6 oz22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 24 Aug	.22 Jun, 8 Aug .22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 24 Aug	5.0a	22.7abc	29.7d	1.7a	0.0a	1.0abc	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	49.0b
10. Andalin 1.0L8.0 oz22 Jun, 8 Aug Andalin 2.1 L8.0 oz24 Jul Guthion 35W8.6 oz22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 24 Aug	.22 Jun, 8 Aug .24 Jul .22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 24 Aug	5.0a	18.0ab	15.7abcd	1.7a	0.0a	0.3ab	0.7ab	0.7a	0.3a	62.0bc
11. Safer Insecticide conc.128.0 oz22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul + SunSpray oil 6E+.128.0 oz22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul	.22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul .22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul	5.3a	53.7d	8.7abc	0.7a	12.0b	2.0abc	2.7b	0.0a	0.0a	23.3a
12. Check		7.7a	49.3cd	4.3a	0.7a	10.0b	7.0bcde	6.7c	0.7a	0.7a	31.3a
Treatment means tollowed by the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05; LSD) Data were transformed using the arcsine transformation for analysis. Untransformed data	e letter are not significantly le transformation for analysi	different (p<0.05; LSD). s. Untransformed data are presented.	5; LSD). led data ar	e presente	ij						

-12

	Tarnished	Plum	European	Green	Codlina	San	Variedated	% Clean	Mean
Treatment /100 gal. dates	plant bug	curculio	sawfly	worm	moth	scale	leafroller	fruit	rating*/fruit
Imidan 50W	10.7a	7.3a	17.3a	3.0a	0.0a	1.3a	0.3a	63.7b	1.5a
2. Danitol 2.4EC5.3 oz4 May,22 May, 7 Jul, 8 Aug Guthion 35W8.6 oz7 Jun, 22 Jun, 24 Jul, 24 Aug12.0a	12.0a	7.3a	9.0a	1.7a	0.0a	1.3a	0.0a	72.3b	1.3a
 Orthene 75SP5.3 oz4 May, 7 Jun Guthion 35W8.6 oz22 May, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug	12.3a	10.7a	15.7a	1.0a	2.0a	0.7a	0.0a	60.3b	1.4a
 Morestan 25W8.0 oz4 May Guthion 35W8.6 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug 24 Aug 	11.7a	5.7a	11.3a	1.3a	1.3a	0.3a	0.0a	72.0b	1.6a
5. EXP 60145A	17.7a	1.7a	4.7a	0.0a	1.7a	1.3a	0.0a	75.0b	1.4a
6. EXP 60145A2.0 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug	22.0a	5.0a	4.7a	0.3a	0.3a	2.0a	0.7a	66.0b	1.5a
7. EXP 60145A4.0 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug	11.0a	1.3a	11.3a	0.3a	0.0a	1.3a	0.0a	76.7b	1.5a
8. Andalin 2.1L	16.3a	2.7a	7.0a	1.0a	0.7a	0.3a	0.3a	72.3b	1.7a
9. Andalin 2.1L	10.0a	7.0a	25.7a	3.0a	0.7a	0.7a	0.3a	56.7b	1.3a
10. Andaliri 1.0L	17.5a	5.0a	12.0a	0.0a	1.0a	1.0a	0.0a	66.0b	1.5a
11. Safer Insecticide conc. 128.0 oz22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul + SunSpray oil 6E+128.0 oz22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul	5.4a	30.0a	10.0a	0.0a	55.0b	4.7a	0.0a	25.7a	1.1a
12. Check.	16.4a	18.5a	10.6a	1.5a	37.7b	3.7a	14.9b	24.9a	1.1a
I reatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05; LSD).	rent (p<0.05;	LSD).							

% Injured Fruit (Golden Delicious) 26 Sep

Percent data were transformed using the arcsine transformation for analysis. Untransformed data are presented.
* 1 = best, 3 = worst.

Apple rust mite: Aculus schlechtendali (Nalepa)
A predatory phytoseid: Amblyseius fallacis (Garman)
European red mite: Panonychus ulmi (Koch)
Twospotted spider mite: Tetranychus urticae Koch
A predatory stigmaeid: Zetzellia mali (Ewing)
A predatory coccinellid: Stethorus punctum LeConte

R. W. Weires & D. Scott Lawson Hudson Valley Laboratory N.Y.S. Agric. Exp. Station Highland, New York, 12528

APPLE, MITE CONTROL WITH INSECTICIDES, HUDSON VALLEY LAB, HIGHLAND, NY, 1989: Treatments were applied to eight tree plots replicated three times in a randomized complete block design. All treatments were applied dilute to runoff using a high-pressure handgun sprayer at 400 psi delivering from 2.7 to 4.2 gal spray/tree or 262 to 407 gal/acre, depending upon foliage present and weather conditions. Trees were 25 years-old, 10 ft high, spaced 15 by 30 ft, and on the EMII rootstock. The EXP-60145A treatments were all tested in a seasonal program with sprays applied at pink, 4 May, petal fall, 22 May, and in cover sprays on 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, and 24 Aug. DB-8518829 was applied 4 May, 22 Jun, and 8 Aug, while Imidan was applied at 24.0 oz/100 gal on 22 May and 7 Jun, and 16.0 oz/100 gal on 7 Jul, 24 Jul, and 24 Aug. Danitol was applied 4 May, 22 May, 7 Jul, and 8 Aug, with Guthion applied in the remaining cover sprays. Orthene was applied 4 May and 7 Jun with Guthion applied in the remaining sprays. Additional treatments included: Morestan applied 4 May along with Guthion and followed by Guthion in all succeeding sprays; Andalin 2.1 L applied at the 4 and 8 oz/100 gal rates on 22 June with Guthion in all other sprays starting at 22 May; Andalin 1L at 8 oz on 22 Jun followed by Andalin 2L 8 oz/100 gal on 24 Jul, with Guthion in other cover sprays; and Safer Insecticidal Concentrate plus Sunspray oil 6E+ applied 22 May, 7 Jun, and 7 Jul. Applications over the entire block primarily for disease control included: Agway Spray oil 6E 1 gal/100 plus Supracide 2E 1 qt/100 gal plus Difolatan 80S 5 lb/100 gal, 11 Apr; Rubigan 1EC 3.0 oz/acre plus Dithane M45 80W 1.5 lb/acre, 4 May and 14 May; Rubigan 1EC 9 oz/acre plus Dithane M45 80W 6 lb/acre, 24 May and 1 Jun; Nova 60W 2 oz/acre, 8 Jun; Polyram 80DF 4 lb/acre, 23 Jun; Manzate 200 80DF 4 lb/acre, 15 Jul; and Benlate 50W 6 oz/acre plus Captan 50W 6 lb/acre, 17 Aug. Naphthaleneacetic acid (Kling-tite formulation) was applied in thinning sprays on 25 May using from 42 to 96 ml/100 gal, depending upon the cultivar and apparent fruit set. Mite populations were evaluated by sampling 25 leaves from one "Red Delicious" tree/plot at biweekly intervals throughout the summer. The leaves were brought into the laboratory where they were brushed with a mite brushing machine, and the mites and eggs examined and counted using a binocular scope. Stethorus punctum populations were assessed by counting all of the adults seen in a three minute search arround the perimeter of one "Red Delicious" tree/plot. Rainfall was above normal during May, Jun and Aug. Temperatures were generally cooler than normal due to the continually overcast conditions found during much of the summer. Mite pressure developed rather slowly in the block due in part to epizootic outbreaks of microbial pathogens, which were favored by the cool, humid conditions found during much of the season, and also as a result of the early season application of spray oil.

European red mite population increases were noted in the DB-8518829, Orthene, and Andalin 1L treatments by mid-Jul. The EXP-60145A treatments broke with respect to mite control in a rate-wise fashion during the first week of Aug, the highest rate having the fewest mites. The Andalin 2L treatments broke during mid-Aug. The Danitol and Morestan treatments sustained very few spider mites during the season, with the Danitol providing direct suppression and the Morestan providing early suppression while permitting the build-up of Zetzellia mali during the later part of the season. Apple rust mite and Amblyseius fallacis populations were quite low in the EXP-60145A treatments, while both Stethorus punctum and Zetzellia mali populations responded to the mite build-up in these treatments. The Stethorus punctum response to the spider mite build-up in early August may have been adversly affected by the DB-8518829 and the EXP-60145A treatments, as counts were lower in these treatments compared to the Morestan treatment. The low mite counts in the Safer Insecticidal Soap Concentrate plus sunspray oil treatment was attributed primarily to the severe leaf injury noted in these plots in Jun. This injury apparently resulted when the thinning spray of Klingtite® was applied on 25 May following the 22 May Soap and oil application.

	2,000			Me	Mean no.of mites* or eggs/ leaf**	s/leaf**	
Treatment	Hate form.	Application		- 1		27 Jun	
1 DB of 10000 1000	/100 gal.	dates	ERM	ERME	ZM	ERM	FRME
i. UB-83 18829 105C	24.0 oz 16.0 oz		600	c C C			
			3	0.50	0.0d	0.9a	0.4bc
2. Danitol 2.4EC	5.3 oz8.6 oz	Danitol 2.4EC	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a0.0a	0.0a	0.0a
3. Orthene 75SP	5.3 oz4 May, 7 Jun 8.6 oz22 May, 22 J 24 Jul, 8 Aug	un, 7 Jul, I, 24 Aug	1.1b	1.2bc	0.0a	5.10	0.7c
4. Morestan 25W8.0 oz4 May Guthion 35W8.6 oz4 May, 22 7 Jul, 24 J	8.0 oz	, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug	0.0a	0.0a	0.1a	0.0a	0.0a
5. EXP-60145A	1.0 oz	EXP-60145A1.0 oz4 May, 22 May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug	0.1a	0.1a	0.0a	1.3ab	0.2ab
6. EXP-60145A2.0 oz4 May, 22	2.0 oz	May, 7 Jun, 22 Jun, ul, 8 Aug, 24 Aug	0.1a	0.2a	0.2a	0.5a	0.3ab
7. EXP-60145A	4.0 oz	EXP-60145A	0.0a	0.0a	0.1a	0.2a	0.0a
8. Andalin 2.1L	4.0 oz 8.6 oz	5W8.6 oz22 Jun, 8 Aug 24 Jul,24 Aug	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.1a	0.0a
9. Andalin 2.1L	8.0 oz	8.0 oz22 Jun, 8 Aug 8.6 oz22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 24 Aug	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a
10. Andalin 1.0L	8.0 oz 8.0 oz 8.6 oz	22 Jun, 8 Aug 24 Jul 22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 24 Aug	1.2b	2.20	0.0a	4.3bc	0.60
11. Safer Insecticide conc128.0 oz22 May, 7 + SunSpray oil 6E+128.0 oz22 May, 7 12. Check	.128.0 oz 128.0 oz	.22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul .22 May, 7 Jun, 7 Jul0.0a 	0.0a 0.4ab	0.0a 0.6ab	0.0a0.0a 0.0a0.4a	0.0a 0.4a	0.0a
reatment means tollowed by the same Untransformed data are presented.	by the same lette e presented.	I reatment means tollowed by the same letter are not significantly different (p< 0.05; LSD). Untransformed data are presented.		ata were trans	Data were transformed to $log_{10}(X + 1)$ for analysis	+ 1) for analysi	

Untransformed data are presented.

* ERM = European red mite, ERME = ERM eggs, ZM = Zetzellia mali.

** Based on 25 leaves/ tree, from one Red Delicious tree in each of three replicates/ treatment.

					Mean	no. of mites	Alean no. of mites* or excelleal*						
			11.11				2000						
the company	1	1			1				2Aug				
redillierii	M L	EKME	ZM	ZME	ARM	ERM	ERME	TSM	TSME	AMB	ZM	ZME	ABA
												Z-IVIL	MINI
	4.0hc	11 7cde	000	000		7		(
	7			0.0		5.Zu		0.2a	1.9a	0.00	0.29hc	0 33	400
***************************************	. U.1a	0.1a	0.0a	0.0a		77		770	7		2000	٥. م	4.3aD
	10 00	21 1de	000	000		1.1		2.4 2	ت - -	0.038	0.0a	0.0a	19.2b
	000	2	2 .	0.0 g		Z. / CQ:		0.2a	0.4a	0.12a	0 125	000	207 80
***************************************	0.za	0.8ab	0.1a	0.1		1 12		7	L		2	o.0	20.704
	2 Kho	S Shoul	0	0				٦ م	D.3	0.14a	0.4pcd	0.5a	100 2h
	0.00	0.000	o.0	0.00		9.0cd		273	212	0.00	000		
	2.5ab	3.4abc	т С	000		Thod 1		3		2.0 2	0.0g	0.2g	U.ba
	40 4	2000	3	21.0		nonc.		7.7a	3.6a	0.009	0 79	0 72	A 0.0 A
	I. I AD	4.4aD	o.oa	0.1a		Sahod		0 10	0			3	0.00
	7	0.42	000	0.40		20000		7.4g	o.08	0.01a	0.4cd	1.3a	3.4ab
	3 (3 0	3 0	d		z.uap		0.1a	1.13	0.00	000	000	A Ook
	C.		o.oa	0.0a		00		000	0	3 0	3	20.0	0.4aD
0	13.10		000	0		7.00		0.0 0	۷. ام	0.00a	0.0a	0.0a	5.4ab
-		2 4	9 0	0.00 0.00	0.00	4.0abc	19.9cde	0.3a	2.4a	0.009	0 0	000	1 10
	.ca		o.oa	0.03		00		000	0		3	3	Z .
0.7ab	0.7ab		000	000		200		0.0 0.0	 	0.01a	0.1abc	0.8a	31.4bc
		1	50.0	20.0	200	1.ca	1.8ab	0.0a	0.6a	0.00a	0.1abc	0.0a	34.7bc
											STATISTICS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	Name and Address of the Owner, where	

	ARM	44.1bc	51.8bcd	150.3d	160.2cd	0.9a	5.6ab	2.6ab	5.2ab	13.4ab	0.2a	118.2cd	135.7cd
	ZWE	1.6bc	0.0a	0.6ab	1.6bc	0.3ab	4.10	5.0c	0.1a	0.0a	0.0a	0.5ab	0.0a
leaf**	M							1.3bc					- 1
Mean no. of mites* or eggs/ leat** 22 Aug	AMB	0.11bc	0.00a	0.19c	0.07ab	0.00a	0.00a	0.00a	0.09abc	0.10ab	0.01ab	0.00a	0.00a
no. of mi	TSME	5.0a	2.6a	0.3a	5.8a	6.5a	2.8a	12.0a	11.0a	4.1a	4.6a	0.3a	0.0a
Mean	TSM	0.6a	0.5a	0.5a	0.4a	1.2a	0.1a	2.3a	0.5a	1.7a	1.8a	0.0a	0.0a
	ERME	31.3e	4.2abc	6.8bcd	24.9cde	23.9de	11.6cde	34.9de	16.4cde	16.3cde	25.6de	1.0ab	0.4a
adults	us Cortland	17.50	6.0h	0.00	0.0d1./ab	0.8bc	1.7 ab0.8ab	6.1bc	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	reatment means followed by the same latter are not circuitisantly different to one 1000

tment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p< 0.05; LSD). Data were transformed to $\log_{10}(X+1)$ for analysis.

Untransformed data are presented.
* ERM = European red mite, ERME = ERM eggs, TSM = Twospotted spider mite, TSME = TSM eggs, ARM = Apple rust mite, AMB = Amblyseius fallacis, ZM = Zetzellia mali, ZME = ZM eggs.
** Based on 25 leaves/ tree, from one Red Delicious tree in each of three replicates/ treatment.

Apple rust mite: Aculus schlechtendali (Nalepa)

A predatory phytoseid: Amblyseius fallacis (Garman)

European red mite: Panonychus ulmi (Koch)

Twospotted spider mite: Tetranychus urticae Koch A predatory stigmaeid: Zetzellia mali (Ewing)

Spotted tentiform leafminer: Phyllonorycter blancardella (Fabr.)

White apple leafhopper: Typhlocyba pomaria McAtee

R. W. Weires & D. Scott Lawson Hudson Valley Laboratory N.Y.S. Agric. Exp. Station Highland, New York, 12528

APPLE, MITE CONTROL, MITICIDE BLOCK, HUDSON VALLEY LAB, HIGHLAND, NY, 1989: Treatments were applied to eight tree plots replicated three times in a randomized complete block design. All treatments were applied dilute to runoff using a high-pressure handgun sprayer at 400 psi delivering from 3.4 to 3.9 gal spray/tree or 330 to 378 gal/acre. Trees were 25 years-old, 10 ft high, 12 ft width, spaced 15 by 30 ft, and on the EMII rootstock. Treatments, consisting of five rates of EL-436, three rates of the 1.7EC formulation of Product X, and one rate of the 20W formulation of Product X, were applied 23 Jun, while Kelthane plus the AG 44M adjuvant, were applied 24 Jun. Considerable foaming was noted in the tank containing the Kelthane and AG 44M combination. Agway Spray oil 6E 1 gal/100 plus Supracide 2E 1 qt/100 gal plus Difolatan 80S 5 lb/100 gal were all applied dilute to runoff over the entire block on 11 Apr, primarily for the control of San Jose Scale. Additional materials applied over the entire block for disease control included: Rubigan 1EC 3.0 oz/acre plus Dithane M45 80W 1.5 lb/acre, 4 May and 14 May; Rubigan 1EC 9 oz/acre plus Dithane M45 80W 6 lb/acre, 24 May and 1 Jun; Nova 60W 2 oz/acre, 8 Jun; Polyram 80DF 4 lb/acre, 23 Jun; Manzate 200 80DF 4 lb/acre, 15 Jul; and Benlate 50W 6 oz/acre plus Captan 50W 6 lb/acre, 17 Aug. The forementioned materials were all applied by airblast sprayer delivering from 60 to 100 gal spray/acre. Insecticides applied dilute to runoff for insect control in all treatments except the check included: Guthion 35W 8.6 oz/100 gal, 23 May, 8 Jun, and 17 Aug; and Sevin 80S 1 lb/100 gal, 27 Jul. Kling Tite® (Naphthaleneacetic acid) was applied 25 May to all treatments at rates ranging from 42 to 96 ml/100 gal dilute, depending upon the cultivar. Mite populations were evaluated by sampling 25 leaves from one "Red Delicious" tree/plot at biweekly intervals throughout the summer. The leaves were brought into the laboratory where they were brushed onto a glued plate with a mite brushing machine. The plate was examined with the aid of a binocular scope for mites and eggs, which were counted and recorded. Spotted tentiform leafminer and white apple leafhopper were evaluated on 14 Aug by counting the number of leafminer mines and rating leafhopper injury from 25 McIntosh leaves/tree/plot. Rainfall was above normal during May, Jun and Aug. Temperatures were generally cooler than normal due to the continually overcast conditions found during much of the summer. Mite pressure was less than normal throughout the block, in part due to epizootic outbreaks of microbial pathogens which were favored by the cool, overcast, and humid conditions found during much of the season.

European red mite counts were quite erratic prior to the first miticide application, but all treatments provided a reduction in motile forms, with the exception of the Savey treatment, which showed and increase in motile forms but decrease in eggs on the post-treatment count. Mite populations declined in all treatments until the final 21 Aug count, at which time the EL-463 and Kelthane treatments had similar spider mite populations, while the Product X and Savey treatments had similar low counts. Apple rust mite populations were more prevalent in the EL-463, Savey and untreated check treatments than in the others. The Kelthane treatment virtually eliminated the Zetzellia mali population, which was found throughout the other treatments. Amblyseius fallacis populations were highest on the final count in EL-436 treatments where spider mite populations were highest. No differences were noted between treatments with respect to spotted tentiform leafminer populations or white apple leafhopper leaf injury.

		Wean no, of mites* or eggs/leal**	or eggs/leaf	t				
Rate form.	5Jun				19Jun			
reatment /100 gal	ERM	ERME	ERM	ERME	AMB	ZM	ZME	ARM
. EL-436 1.5 EC0.53 oz7.1a	7.1a	2.7a1.7a	1.7a	16.6a	0.01a	0.0a	0.1a	3.5a
2. EL- 436 1.5 EC1.07 oz0.1a + AG 98	0.1a	0.2a0.2a	J.2a	1.9a	0.00a	0.1a	0.0a	0.7a
3. EL-463 1.5 EC2.13oz	7.9a	8.9a19.3a	9.3a	86.9a	0.03a	0.0a	0.0a	5.8a
4. EL-436 1.5 EC3.2 oz. + AG 983.2 oz	2.0a	2.4a3.8a	3.8a	14.1a	0.01a	0.1a	0.1a	2.4a
5. EL-436 1.5 EC4.27 oz6.2a + AG 983.2 oz	6.2a	6.6a5.2a	5.2a	24.9a	0.01a	0.1a	0.0a	3.2a
Product X 1.7 EC3.2 oz6.2a	6.2a	8.1a6.9a	5.9a	30.1a	0.04a	0.1a	0.0a	5.2a
Jot X 1.7 EC6.4 oz	0.2a	0.2a0	0.1a	1.5a	0.00a	0.0a	0.1a	8.0a
Product A 1.7 EC12.8 oz	0.2a	0.0a0.1a	0.1a	1.4a	0.00a	0.0a	0.0a	1.8a
Product X 20 W6.6 oz2.9a	2.9a	5.5a2.9a	2.9a	13.3a	0.01a	0.0a	0.0a	1.7a
10. Kelinane 4F	5.8a	4.1a2.6a	2.6a	16.4a	0.08a	0.0a	0.0a	1.3a
11. Savey 50 DF	5.9a	6.7a4.8a	4.8a	25.3a	0.00a	0.0a	0.0a	3.9a
12. OTHECK	0.2a	0.6a0.6a	0.6a	2.7a	0.01a	0.0a	0.0a	4.7a

		ARM	56.03	35.12	35.3a	10.8a	53.6a	55.8a	17.4a	19.6a	22.48	5.03	30.0g	78.29	5110	
		ZME	1		0.0a										5	
		ZM	0.1a	0.09	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.3a	0.0a	0.1a	0.0a	0.09	000	0.2a		
		AMB	0.00a	0.00a	0.00a	0.00a	0.00a	0.01a	0.00a	0.01a	0.01a	0.00a	0.00	0.00a		
	19Ju	TSME	0.2a	0.0a	0.0a	0.1a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.1a	alvsis.	
		TSM	0.3a	0.1a	0.1a	0.2a	0.0a	0.5a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.09	0.0a		
*		ERME	2.0a	1.1a	1.3a	3.8a	1.9a	3.4a	0.3a	0.2a	4.7a	1.0a	0.1a	1.2a	140(X+1	<u></u>
Mean no. of mites* or eggs/leaf**		ERM	2.1d	1.2cd	1.7bcd	0.5abc		0.5abc	0.0a	0.1a	0.1ab	0.8abcd	0.0a	0.9abcd	Data were transformed to $log_1 n(X + 1)$	
an no. of m		ARM	8.8a	7.4a	4.9a	2.6a	15.9a	15.7a	11.8a	3.0a	3.2a	0.4a	29.7a	49.1a	Data were i	
Mea		ZME	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.1a	0.3a	0.0a	0.1a	0.1a	0.0a	0.1a	0.1a		
		M	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.1a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.1a	0.2a	0< 0.05;	
		AMB	0.00a	0.01a	0.00a	0.00a	0.00a	0.05a	0.18a	0.00a	0.04a	0.01a	0.02a	0.00a	different (p< 0.05; LSD).	3
	eJu	TSME	0.8a	0.0a	0.2a	0.1a	0.0a	0.6a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.2a	0.0a	0.0a		
		TSM	0.9a	0.1a	0.1a	0.1a	0.1a	0.6a	0.0a	0.0a	0.3a	0.2a	0.1a	0.0a	not sign	
		ERME	3.6a	1.0a	8.9a	5.0a	6.9a	16.7a	0.6a	0.3a	16.6a	1.0a	17.2a	1.8a	letter are	
		ERM	7.0a	0.3a	2.1a	0.8a	1.2a	1.3a	0.1a	0.1a	1.8a	2.6a	10.1a	1.6a	the same	resented
3	Application	date	17.0a	23 Jun	23 Jun2.1	23	23 Jun1.2a	23 Jun1.3a	23 Jun0.1a	8	23 Jun1.8a	24 Jun2.6a		2	reatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly	Untransformed data are presented.
	2	Treatment	1	2	3	4 .		0 1	······································	80.0	9	10	11	12.	Treatment me	Untransfo

Untransformed data are presented.
* ERM = European red mite, ERME = ERM eggs, TSM = Twospotted spider mite, TSME = TSM eggs, ARM = Apple rust mite, AMB = Amblyseius fallacis, ZM = Zetzellia mali, ZME = ZM eggs. ** Based on 25 leaves/ tree, from one Red Delicious tree in each of three replicates/ treatment.

	Data form							≥	Mean no. of mites" or eggs/leat**	r eggs/lea	k k					
	nale lorm.				3170							2 6				
reatment	/100 gal	ERM	ERM ERME TSM TSME	TSM	TSME	AMB ZM		ZME	ARM FRM	FRME	TOM	TOME		F		
1. EL-436 1.5 EC0.53 oz 0 9a	0.53 oz	0 93	3 53	μα 4α	1 8h 4 En	0 0 74	0	П	1	LI IIVIL		CIVIE	AIMB	ZM	ZME	ARM
+ AG 983.2 oz	3.2 oz	5		20.	٠. م	0.0.0	0.070 0.18 0.38		3.5ab13.1de	25.2c 7.2a	7.2a	5.9cde	0.17a	0.7b	1.0d	4.5a
2. EL- 436 1,5 EC	.1.07 oz	0 0	4 52	,	c					!						
+ AG 983.2 oz	.3.2 oz	3	5		4.	0.008	0. la 0	<u>a</u>	0.1a 0.1a 2.6ab0.9abc	17.4c 4.3a	4.3a	8.7ef	0.00a	0.3ab	0.5abcd 4.5a	4.5a
3. EL-463 1.5 EC	2.1307	0.43	000	0	Č											
+ AG 983.2 oz	3.2 oz	5		ر د د د	0. Ja	0.00a	0.02	0.0a	2.1ab18.6cde	29.9c	9.3a	8.5def	0.03a	0.1ab	0.2abc	3.9a
4. EL-436 1.5 EC.	3207	000	10 10	1	1	000	(~								
+ AG 9832 07	3207	, o	۲. ام	00.1	4./8	0.00a	0.0a 0.0a		6.0b9.3bcde 19.3c	9 19.3c	6.7a 24.2f	24.2f	0.21a	0.0a	0.1ab	9 73
K El 126 1 F F	70.10														25	8
J. LL-450 .3 EV	.4.2/ 0Z	0.5a	2.8a	0.0a	0.1a	0.009	0.13	0 29	4.5h 13.8e	1000	000	1000				
+ AG 983.2 oz	.3.2 oz						5				0.04	Z.Uabc	U.13a	0.5ab	0.7bcd ;	29.3a
6. Product X 1.7 EC3.2 oz 0.8a	.3.2 oz	0.8a	4.58	7	0 72	0000	0 22 0	,	Joh 4 Act	-	0	;	200			
7. Product X 1 7 FC	6407				3 0	2000	20.0		Z.Zab1.4abcd 14.8bc 0.6a	14.8DC	0.6a	5.4bcde	0.07a	0.4ab	1.40	1 23
8 Product Y 1 7 EC 12 0 2		ر ا ا	Zd	0.03	o.ca	0.009		0.4a (0.0a0.8abc	2.7ab	1.6a	2.6abcd	0.042		OFORD	21.0
O. 1 Journal A 1.7 F.C	12.0 02	0.1a	0.8a	0.0a	0.2a	0.00a	0.0a 0.	0.0a	.5ab. 2 8ahcde 4 7ah n 1a	1 7ah	4	4090	0.00		0.5abca	S./ A
9. Product X 20 W6.6 oz0.3a	.6.6 oz	0.3a	4.1a	0.09	0 12	0000		0 23	024000	0 0 P		0.000	0.038		0.3abc 5.2a	5.2a
10. Kelthane 4F12.0 oz	12.0 oz.	0 0	4 53			2000			1.3ab0.3abc	o.zabcu.ba	0.0a	Z.Zabc	0.00a	0.2ab	0.9cd	9.3a
+ Triton Ag 44M		3	, d	o.0	0.0g	0.008	0.0a 0.	0.0a	0.0a7.4bcde 24.3c 0.7a	24.3c	0.7a	7.4cdef	0.03a		0.09	0.42
11 Sayov SO DE	100		1												5	3
10. Cavey 30 DF 1.0 020.0a	. I.0 0Z	0.0a	1.5a	0.0a	0.0a	0.00a	0.0a 0.1a		3.0ab0.1a	1 22	0.53	0 53h	000			
12. Uleck0.8a		0.8a	2.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.00a	0.69.0	83 48	0 8a 48 4c 0 3ah	1 0ah 0 40	2 0	0.0an	0.00 0.00	0.1ab	_	4.7a
									000000000000000000000000000000000000000	4.3an	ر. م	0.2d	0.038	2.0c	3.2e	36.6a
														The same of the sa	I	

							í				
14 Aug	Mean injury rating****/leaf	1.4a	1.7a	1.8a	1.5a	1.7a	2.0a	. 6a 8 a	1.1a		1.8a
	Mean no. STLM*** mines/25 clusters	11.3a	14.7a	9.0a	8.0a	10.0a	10.7a	6.7a	11.0a	2 ee 9	9.7a
	Rate form. /100 gal	0.53 oz	3.2 oz 1.07 oz	2.13oz	3.20 oz	4.27 oz 3.2 oz	Product X 1.7 EC32 oz 10.7a Product X 1.7 EC6.4 oz 10.7a	Product X 1.7 EC12.8 oz6.7a	Foduct A Zu W	1.0 oz	
£	Treatment	1. EL-436 1.5 EC0.53 oz11.3a	2. EL- 436 1,5 EC	3. EL-463 1.5 EC2.13oz9.0a	4. EL-436 1.5 EC3.20 oz8.0a + AG 98	5. EL-436 1.5 EC4.27 oz10.0a + AG 983.2 oz	6. Product X 1.7 EC3.2 oz	8. Product X 1.7 EC.	3. Froduct A 20 W	+ Triton Ag 44M 11. Savey 50 DF	12. Check9.7a

Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p<0.05; LSD). Data were transformed to $\log_{10}(X+1)$ for analysis.

Untransformed data are presented.
* ERM = European red mite, ERME = ERM eggs, TSM = Twospotted spider mite, TSME = TSM eggs, ARM = Apple rust mite, AMB = Amblyseius fallacis, ZM = Zetzellia mali, ZME = ZM eggs.

** Based on 25 leaves/ tree, from one Red Delicious tree in each of three replicates/ treatments.

***STLM = Spotted tentiform leafminer.

**** 0=best, 3=worst.

Apple rust mite: Aculus schlechtendali (Nalepa)

A predatory phytoseid: Amblyseius fallacis (Garman)

European red mite: Panonychus ulmi (Koch) A predatory stigmaeid: Zetzellia mali (Ewing)

Apple aphid; Aphis pomi De Geer

Apple maggot: Rhagoletis pomenella (Walsh) Codling moth: Laspeyresia pomonella (L.)

San Jose scale: Quadraspidiotus perniciosus (Comstock)

Spotted tentiform leafminer: Phyllonorycter blancardella (Fabr.) Variegated leafroller: Platynota flavedana Clemens

White apple leafhopper: Typhlocyba pomaria McAtee

R. W. Weires & D. Scott Lawson Hudson Valley Laboratory N.Y.S. Agric. Exp. Station Highland, New York, 12528

APPLE, INSECT AND MITE CONTROL, MILTON, NY, 1989: Treatments were applied to 4-8 tree plots replicated four times in a randomized complete block design. One replicate consisted of the "Tydeman" cultivar, another of the "Paula Red" cultivar, and two of the "Red Delicious" cultivar. All treatments were applied dilute to runoff using a high-pressure handgun sprayer at 300 psi delivering from 2.6 to 2.9 gal spray/tree or 364 to 406 gal/acre. Trees were approximately 15 years-old, 16 ft high, 14 ft width, spaced 13 by 24 ft, and on the MM-111 rootstock. Treatments consisted of Larvin 3.2F 10.0 oz/100 gal plus Surfel 32.0 oz/100 gal; Larvin 3.2F 10.0 oz/100 gal plus Guthion 35W 5.7 oz/100 gal plus Surfel 32.0 oz/100 gal; Sevin 80S 10.0 oz/100 gal plus Surfel 32.0 oz/100 gal; Sevin 80S 10.0 oz/100 gal plus Guthion 35W 5.7 oz/100 gal plus Surfel 32.0 oz/100 gal; Guthion 35W 8.6 oz/100 gal; and the untreated check. Treatments were not initiated until a petal fall, 24 May, and first cover spray, 9 Jun, of Guthion 35W 1.6 lb form./acre, had been applied over the entire block by airblast sprayer. Treatments were then applied on 22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, and 10 Aug. Fungicides applied by airblast sprayer over the entire block for disease control included: Rubigan 1EC 6.0 oz/acre, 26 Apr; Rubigan 1EC 6.0 oz/acre plus Dithane M45 80W 1.5 lb/acre, 4 May and 14 May; Rubigan 1EC 9 oz/acre plus Dithane M45 80W 6 lb/acre, 24 May and 11 Jun; Nova 60W 2 oz/acre, 9 Jun; and Manzate 200 80DF 4 lb/acre, 15 Jul. Mite populations were evaluated by sampling 25 leaves from the center tree in each plot on 22 Jun, 1 Aug, and 16 Aug. The leaves were brought into the laboratory where they were brushed onto a glued plate with a mite brushing machine. The plate was examined with the aid of a binocular scope for mites and eggs, which were counted and recorded. Spotted tentiform leafminer and white apple leafhopper were evaluated by counting the number of leafminer mines or leafhopper nymphs on 25 leaves from the center tree in each plot. Aphids were evaluated by examining the number of terminals infested with live aphid colonies from 25 terminals on the center tree in each plot. The fruit was evaluated for insect injury as it became ripe, thus the "Paula Red" and "Tydeman" cultivars were harvested 16 Aug, while the "Red Delicious" cultivar was harvested 7 Sep. 100 fruits were checked for insect injury from each plot in the test.

Pest mite populations remained low throughout the season in the check and Guthion treatments, where Zetzellia mali (Ewing) became the predominant mite species. Z. Mali as well as other mite species were at very low population levels in the other treatments, apparently as a result of the physical action of the Surfel, which had been included in these treatments. White apple leafhopper control was best with the two Sevin treatments and the larvin plus Guthion plus Surfel, followed by the Larvin plus Surfel and the Guthion treatments. Spotted tentiform leafminer control was slightly better with the Sevin treatments than the Larvin treatments, while the reverse was found with aphid control. A greater percentage of the fruit treated with Sevin plus Surfel combinations had russet rings than did that treated with the Larvin plus Surfel combinations. The addition of the Guthion in the combinations appeared to lessen the percentage of russeted fruit. Codling moth and variegated leafroller injury was significantly greater in the check treatment, while San Jose scale was only found in the check and the Guthion treatments. Apple maggot punctures were found in all of the treatments, but only in the larvin plus Surfel treatment were maggot tunnels found to the same extent as were found in the check.

		ADMA	8.5a		4.8a	2.2a		1.5a	1.8a	5.7a	
			1						0.00a 2.4b 3.1b 1.8a	0.00a 2.4b 3.2b 26.7a	
	2	AMB ZM ZME	0.3a		0.3a	0.00a 0.1a 0.2a		0.00a 0.2a 0.1a	2.4b	2.4b	
	142	AMA	0.02a		0.00a 0.3a 0.3a	0.00a		0.00a	0.00a	0.00a	
*		FRME	0.7a		0.3a	0.4a		0.3a	1.2a	0.9a	
gas/leaf*		FRM	0.1a		0.0a	0.1a		0.0a	0.1a	0.1a	
ites* or e											
Mean no. of mites* or eggs/leaf**		ARM	59.8a0.1a 0.7a 0.02a 0.3a 0.2a		0.1a 108.0a0.0a	0.5a 0.2a 81.3a0.1a		53.9a0.0a	97.4a0.1a 1.2a	86.8a0.1a 0.9a	
Mean		ZME ARM	0.1a		0.1a 1(0.2a		0.3a	0.1a	0.3a	
		ZM	0.5a 0.1a		0.4a	0.5a		0.9a	0.8a	0.6a 0.3a	
	22 Jun	AMB	0.00a		0.01a	0.02a		0.02a	0.00a	2.2a 0.00a	
		ERME	1.7a 0.00a		1.9a	2.2a		0.6a	2.3a	2.2a	
			.0.1a		0.3a	0.4a		0.1a	0.3a	0.2a	
									:		
			10 Aug 10 Aug	0 Aug 10 Aug	10 Aug	10 Aug 10 Aug	10 Aug	10 Aug	10 Aug		
i	Application	es	24 Jul, 24 Jul,	24 Jul 1	24 Jul,	24 Jul, 24 Jul,	24 Jul,	24 Jul,	24 Jul,		
	Appli	dates	7 Jul, 2 7 Jul, 2	7 Jul, 2 7 Jul, 2	7 Jul, 2	7 Jul, 2 7 Jul, 2	7 Jul, 27	7 Jul, 2	7 Jul, 2		
			22 Jun, 22 Jun,	22 Jun,	2 Jun,	22 Jun, 22 Jun,	22 Jun,	2 Jun,	2 Jun,		
	Ë	al.)z2	2Z2	oz2)z2)Z2)z2	z2		
	Hate torm.	/100 g	. 32.0	5.7 0	. 32.0	. 10.0	. 5.7 0	.32.0	8.6 0		
			3.2F	3.2F n 35W		308	0 S n 35W		135W	1	
		reatment /100 gal.	1.Larvin 3.2F 10.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug Surfel32.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug0.1a	2. Larvin 3.2F 10.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul 10 Aug Guthion 35W 5.7 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug	Surfel	3. Sevin 80S 10.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug Surfel 32.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug	4.Sevin 80 S 10.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug Guthion 35W 5.7 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul. 24 Jul. 10 Aug	Surfel	5. Guthion 35W 8.6 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug	6. Check	
	ŀ	-	-	۷i		က်	4		5.	9	

Mean no. Apple aphid	14.111	5.0a	0.0a	4.0a	16.02	10.00	Untransformed data				
Mean no. Spotted tentiform leafminer mines/25 leaves		2.8bcd10.8ab5.0a	0.5abc3.5a0.0a	3.5a 7.0a 7.0a	2.5cd 26cd 16.0cd	8.0d21.8bc. 19.0a	Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p< 0.05; LSD). Data were transformed to log ₁₀ (X + 1) for analysis. Untransformed data	* ERM = European red mite, ERME = ERM eggs, ARM = Apple rust mite, AMB = <i>Amblyseius fallacis.</i> ZM = <i>Zetzellia mali.</i> ZMF = ZM ends			
	14 Jul 1 Aug.	2.8bcd	0.5abc	0.0a		8.0d	a were transforme	lacis, ZM = Zetzell			
Mean no. White apple leafhopper			0.00			15.3b	15; LSD). Dat	Amblyseius fall			
W	22 Jun		0.3a/.5a).1a11.5a	0.4a12.5a	0.4a7.5a	ficantly different (p< 0.0	Apple rust mite, AMB = A	** Based on 25 leaves/ tree, from one tree in each of four replicates/ treatment.		
gs/leaf**	ZME	0.3a	0.3a	0.1a		0.4a	e not signi	, ARM = A	ch of four	74	
es* or eg 1.	ZM	0.5a	0.5a	0.2a	1.7b	3.2c	letter are	RM eggs	ree in ea		
Mean no. of mites* or eggs/leaf**	ERME	0.2a	0.23	0.2a	0.1a	0.1a	the same	RME = E	om one ti		
Mean	ERM	0.3a	0.3a	0.1a	0.1a	0.0a	lowed by	ed mite, E	es/ tree, fr		
Treatments		10.3a	3	40.1a	50.1a	60.0a	Treatment means fol	* ERM = European re	** Based on 25 leave		

	Apple Apple % Fruit maggot with russet	2.8a	0.8a 0.5a 11.3b	1.3a 0.0a 28.5c	0.8a 0.3a 22.8bc	2.0a 0.3a 1.5a	
% Injured Fruit			1.5a	1.3a	0.0a	0.0a	
	San Jose scale	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.0a	0.3a	
	Codling	0.0a	0.3a	0.0a	0.0a	0.3a	ā
	Application dates	1.Larvin 3.2F10.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug Surfel32.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug	2. Larvin 3.2F10.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul,10 Aug Guthion 35W5.7 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug Surfel32.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug	3. Sevin 80S10.0 oz22 Jul, 7 Jul, 24 July10 Aug Surfel32.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug	4.Sevin 80 S10.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug Guthion 35W5.7 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug Surfel32.0 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug	5. Guthion 35W8.6 oz22 Jun, 7 Jul, 24 Jul, 10 Aug	G. Check
	Rate form. /100 gal.	2F10.0 oz2 32.0 oz2	.2F10.0 oz2 ı 35W5.7 oz2 32.0 oz2	0S10.0 oz2 32.0 oz2		35W8.6 oz2	
	Treatment	1.Larvin 3. Surfel.	2. Larvin 3 Guthior Surfel	3. Sevin 8 Surfel	4.Sevin 80 Guthior Surfel	5. Guthion	6 Check

Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p< 0.05; LSD). Data were transformed using the arcsine transformation for analysis. Untransformed data are presented.

European red mite: Panonychus ulmi (Koch) Green fruitworm: Lithophane antennata (Walker) Phytoseid predator: Amblysieus fallacis (Garman)

Plum curculio: Conotrachelus nenuphar (Herbst)

Spotted tentiform leafminer: Phyllonorycter blancardella (Fabr.)

Tarnished plant bug: Lygus lineolaris (P. de B.)

APPLE, EARLY SEASON MITE AND INSECT CONTROL, POUGHKEEPSIE, NEW YORK, 1989: A ten acre block of 'Red Delicious', 'Cortland, and 'Stayman' apple cultivars on the standard rootstock was divided into 3 unreplicated plots ranging in size from 3.1 to 3.3 acres. Twelve trees of the 'Cortland' and 12 of the 'Red Delicious' cultivar were left untreated as a check. The trees were approximately 25-years-old, were spaced 18 by 32 ft and were approximately 18 ft in both height and width. Prebloom treatments were applied 26 April (tight cluster) by the grower with a Bean® airblast sprayer, delivering 167 gal/acre, at a speed of 2.5 mph. The treatments consisted of Supracide 2E 4 pt/acre plus oil, Asana XL 10.4 oz/acre plus oil, and Lorsban 4E 4 pt/acre plus oil. Mite populations were evaluated at two-week intervals throughout the season by collecting 25 leaves/tree from 4 'Red Delicious' trees/plot. These leaf samples were brought back to the laboratory where they were brushed with a mite brushing machine and all live mites and eggs counted with the aid of a binocular scope. Spotted tentiform leafminer was evaluated 5 Jun by examining all the leaves on 25 fruiting clusters from 10 'Stayman' trees per plot. Early season fruit injury was evaluated by examining 100 'Cortland' and 100 'Red Delicious' fruits from each of four trees/plot on 18 Aug.

R. W. Weires & D. Scott Lawson

Hudson Valley Laboratory

N.Y.S. Agric. Exp. Station Highland, New York 12528

European red mite populations increased slowly due to the effective oil application, but were highest first in the check and Asana plots. The predatory phytoseiid, *Amblyseius fallacis* was found in all of the treatments, but was most abundant in the Supracide and check plots. Spotted tentiform leafminer mines were least in the Asana plot. Very little difference in insect injury was found between treatments in the 'Cortland' cultivar, while the check had considerably more plum curculio injury than the other treatments and the Lorsban treatment had less injury from tarnished plant bug than the other treatments when the 'Red Delicious' cultivar was considered.

		AMB	0.13	0.03	0.04	0.12
	12 Jul	旦	11.1		15.8	36.9
	12	ERM E	1.2	7.0	2.6	8.1
		lш			22	
leaf**	26 Jun	ERM ERME	3.7	8.5	4.3	2.6 16.8
s* or edgs/	26	ERM	0.5	4.	0.7	2.6
Mean no. mites* or eggs/ leaf**	n	ERME	0.7	6 .	1.	1.6
	5 Jun	ERM	0.0	0.3	0.2	0.3
	26 May	ERME	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4
	26	ERM	0.1	0.2	1.0	0.1
	Application	date	26 Apr	ana XL2.6 oz +Spray oil128.0 oz26 Apr0.2	26 Apr0.	4. Check
	Rate form.	/100 gal		2.6 oz 128.0 oz		
	1	Ireatments	1. Supracide 2E16.0 oz +Spray oil128.0 oz	2. Asana XL2.6 oz +Spray oil128.0 oz	3. Lorsban 4E16.0 oz +Spray oil128.0 oz	4. Check

		%Clean	fruit	93.9	0.96	98.2	93.7		
	Sno	Plum	curculio	0.5	0.0	0.0	4.0	à	
% Injured Fruit (18 Aug)	Red Delicious	Early	lep.	8.0	0.5	0.0	0.0		
ujul %		Tarnished	plant bug	4.8	3.5	9.1	2.3		
		%Clean	foit	98.2	3.5	98.71	98.92.3		
(6)		Plum	curculio	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ius fallacis	
Egi	Confand	Green	Fruit worm	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	IB = Amblyseius fallacis.	
% Injured		Tarnished	plant bug	0.1	6:0	1.3	8.0	ERM eggs, AM licates.	
	um c	Mean no. STLM***	mines/25 cluster	15.5	2	34.1	48.40.8	* ERM = European red mite, ERME = ERM eggs, AMB ** Based on 25 leaves/ tree in four replicates. ***STLM = Spotted tentiform leafminer.	
7		ŀ	reatment		2	3	4	* ERM = Eu ** Based on ***\$TLM = S	

European red mite: Panonychus ulmi (Koch) Green fruitworm: Lithophane antennata (Walker) European apple sawfly: Hoplocampa testudinea (Klug)

Plum curculio: Conotrachelus nenuphar (Herbst)

Spotted tentiform leafminer: Phyllonorycter blancardella (Fabr.)

Tarnished plant bug: Lygus lineolaris (P. de B.)
Twospotted spider mite: Tetranychus urticae Koch
White apple leafhopper: Typhlocyba pomaria McAtee

R. W. Weires & D. Scott Lawson Hudson Valley Laboratory N.Y.S. Agric. Exp. Station Highland, New York 12528

APPLE, EARLY SEASON MITE AND INSECT CONTROL, WALDEN, NEW YORK, 1989: A fifteen acre block of 'Rome Beauty' and 'Tydeman' apple cultivars on the MM111 rootstock was divided into 4 unreplicated plots ranging in size from 2.4 to 3.3 acres. A 0.7 acre portion of the block consisting of the 'Rome Beauty' cultivar was left untreated prebloom as a check. The trees were approximately 15-years-old, were spaced 13 by 20 ft, and were approximately 11 ft in both height and width. Prebloom treatments were applied 28 April (tight cluster) by the grower with a Rears® airblast sprayer, delivering 280 gal/acre, at a speed of 2.5 mph. The treatments consisted of Supracide 2E 44.8 oz/acre plus gal oil/acre, Asana XL 7.5 oz/acre plus 371.2 oz oil/acre, Ethion 4M 27.8 oz/acre plus 371.2 oz oil/acre, and Lorsban 4E 44.8 oz/acre plus 371.2 oz oil/acre. Mite populations were evaluated at two week intervals throughout the season by collecting 25 leaves/tree from 4 'Rome Beauty' trees/plot. These leaf samples were brought back to the laboratory where they were brushed with a mite brushing machine and all live mites and eggs counted with the aid of a binocular scope. Spotted tentiform leafminer and white apple leafhopper were evaluated 8 Jun by recording the number of fruiting clusters infested from 25 clusters per tree from six 'Rome Beauty' trees per plot. Early season fruit injury was evaluated by examining 100 'Rome Beauty' and 100 'Tydeman' fruits from each of four trees/plot on 18 Aug.

European red mite populations remained below action threshold numbers in all treatments except the check throughout the entire summer. Spotted tentiform leafminers were virtually nonexistant in all treatments, while the white apple leafhopper was found to be more abundant in the check than in the other treatments. Tarnished plant bug dimples and scabs constituted the major category of early season insect injury, but there was virtually no difference in injury levels between cultivars or treatments, possibly due in part to the exceptionally long (3 week) bloom period following the treatments.

Mean no. of mites* or eggs/ leat**	7 Jul 724 III	ERME ERM ERME TSM TSME ERM FRMF			0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.4		0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.8		5.7 0.5 0.3 75 115
Mean no. o	26 Ju	ERM	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	c	2.5
	14 Jun	ERM ERME	0.0 0.0	5	0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0		0.0 0.0	07 10	
	May	EHM ERME ER	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	0.1	
Bate form Bate form	Treatment /100 gal /Acro data	4E	+Spray oil128.0 oz371.2 oz28 April0.0	2. Asana XL2.6 oz7.5 oz	+Spray oil128.0 oz371.2 oz 28 April0.0	3. Supracide 2E 16.0 oz44.8 oz	+ Spray oil128.0 oz371.2 oz28 April0.0	4. Ethion 4M9.6 oz27.8 oz	+ Spray oil128.0 oz371.2 oz 28 April0.0	5. Check	

1	" Clean fruit	96.0	97.0	94.5	I		
(br	European apple sawfly	0.0	0.0	0.0	, I		
% Injured Fruit (18 Aug)	Plum curculio	0.0 0.0	0.0	1.0	I		
6 Injured	fruit worm		0.5	0.3	l		
6	Tarnished Green plant fruit bug worm		2.5	4.3	****		
1	European apple % Clean sawfly fruit	94.5		95.5	96.3	S.	
(br	European apple sawfly	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0	TSM egg	
ruit (18 Au Beauty	<u>ا</u>	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	TSME =	
% Injured Fruit (18 Aug) Rome Beauty	Green fruit worm	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	der mite,	
%	Tarnished plant bug	8.4	3.5	4.5	3.5	Iwospotted spider mite, TSME = TSM eggs.	
skn	Mean no. Spotted Mean no. tentiform leafminer White apple <u>mines/25 clusters</u> leafhoppers/25 clusters	8.7	4.5	5.2	10.5 ME - EDM 0000 TOM T	II ∑	
m/s	Mean no. Spotted tentiform leafminer Treatment mines/25 clusters 1	20.0	30.0	40.0	5	** Based on 25 leaves/tree from four trees/treatment.	

European red mite: Panonychus ulmi (Koch)

R. W. Weires & D. Scott Lawson Hudson Valley Laboratory N.Y.S. Agric. Exp. Station Highland, New York 12528

DICOFOL RESISTANCE STUDIES, NEW PALTZ, MODENA, AND APPLE, GARDINER, NEW YORK, 1989: Three cooperating growers were located and blocks of apples reserved so that no miticides would be applied until bioassays were taken of the developing European red mite populations. Most of the blocks had been treated with prebloom oil and as such the mites did not reach treatment threshold numbers until mid-late Jul. The New Paltz block consisted of "Empire" and "McIntosh" cultivars spaced 12x14 ft, approximately 8 ft in height, and 12 ft in width. The mites were collected and bioassayed on 5 Jul, thereafter the block was treated on 6 Jul after a leaf sample was taken and brushed to determine the population size. The dicofol treatment was applied with a Bean® airblast sprayer using 75 gal of spray/acre. The treatment provided initial control, but by the third week following treatment the population has reached threshold levels again. A bioassay at this time indicated that the population was less susceptible to dicofol than had been found earlier. Two bioassays were conducted at the Modena site prior to the first application. The first bioassay had much greater mortality in the check than the second, thus more credence was given to the second, which indicated that the population's susceptibility to dicofol was borderline. The dicofol treatment was applied with a Swanson® airblast sprayer delivering 100 gal/acre of spray at 2.25 mph. The trees were approximately 9-years-old, "Empire" and "Red Delicious" cultivars, spaced 12x14 ft, 14 ft in height, and 14 ft in width. The population was initially controlled but by the third week following the application it had bounced back and exceeded the earlier population. A bioassay taken at this time had too great a mortality in the check to be of much use. The Gardiner site consisted of a five acre plot of 20-year-old "Red Delicious" spaced 15x26 ft, 16 ft in height, and 16 ft in width. Dicofol treatment was made by airblast sprayer delivering 100 gal/acre at a speed of 2.5 mph. The initial bioassay indicated that the population was moderately susceptible to dicofol, and good intial knockdown of the population was noted. Vydate was applied for leafminer control and may have also contributed to the mite control. Followup visits to the plot indicated that no further miticide treatments were required, especially in light of the fact that a ladybird beetle predator, Stethorus punctum LeConte, was present on the farm and migrating to block where mite populations were increasing. Because of the low mite population, no followup bioassay was possible at the Gardiner site.

			Aley May 1	
	Rate Form./	Application	ERM Bioassay* % Mortality	
•	Acre	Dates	6.hil 11.hiles of edgs/ lear	Ī
Kelthane 35W	4.5 lb	6 Jul	Dicofol Omite ERM ERME TSM ERM 73.1 100.0 13.9 24.5 2.6 2.1	ME 4
Omite 35W	7.5 lb	26 Jul	25 Jul 25 Jul 4 Aug Check Carzol Dicofol Omite ERM ERME ERM ERME 23.5 95.3 48.9 98.8 10.7 48.8 3.8 21.2	
	5		Mydra	ANALOGACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC
Kelthane 35W	4lb	27 Jul	Check Carzol Dicofol Omite ERM ERME ERM 67.1 100.0 93.7 400.0 21.7 93.1 80.7 98.6 5.8 22.5 0.9	Aug ERME 8.9
Omite 35W	416	15 Aug	Check Carzol Dicofol Omite	
		i.	Gardrer	ALLANDANALANANANANANANANANANANANANANANAN
Kelthane 35W Vydate 1.8L	5lb 1.5 qt	1 Aug 5 Aug	Check Carzol Dicofol Omite ERM ERME ERM ERME 20.7 98.6 90.6 98.6 15.3 15.7 0.9 20.5	
* Bioassy result	s based on aver	age of four replicate.		

** ERM = European red mite, ERME = ERM eggs
*** Based on 25 leaves/ tree, from four trees/plot.

PEAR: Pyrus communis

Pear Psylla: Psylla pyricola Forester Pear rust mite: Epitrimerus pyri (Nalepa) R. W. Weires & D. Scott Lawson Hudson Valley Laboratory N.Y.S. Agric. Exp. Station Highland, New York, 12528

PEAR, PEAR INSECT AND MITE CONTROL, HUDSON VALLEY LAB, HIGHLAND, NEW YORK, 1989: Treatments were applied to 8 tree plots replicated 3 times in a randomized complete block design. Each plot contained 4 'Bartlett' and 4 'Bosc' cultivars, spaced 12 x 18 ft., 12 ft. in height and 15 years old. Treatments were applied by high-pressure handgun sprayer dilute to runoff at 350 psi using from 1.9 to 2.1 gal/tree (380 to 421 gal/acre). Treatments were applied at white bud, 2 May, petal fall, 14 May, and/or in cover sprays on 7 Jun, 14 Jun, and 4 Jul. Supracide 2EC 2 pt/100 gal plus Sunspray oil 6E 1 gal/100 gal were applied by handgun on 13 Apr over the entire block for San Jose scale control. Additional applications made for disease control over the entire block included: Captan 50W 2 1b/100 gal, on 25 May, 8 Jun, 23 Jun, and 15 Jul. Pear psylla were evaluated by collecting 5 Bartlett spurs (25 leaves) from each plot on 25 May and 8 Jun, and thereafter 5 Bartlett shoots (25 leaves) from each plot on 20 Jun, 30 Jun, 13 Jul, 24 Jul, and 9 Aug. All live pear psylla nymphs and eggs on each leaf were counted in the laboratory using a binocular scope. Pear rust mite populations were assessed by examining the same leaves used in most of the preceding psylla samples. These leaves were viewed with the aid of a binocular scope and all live mites counted. Temperatures were generally cooler than normal due to the continually overcast conditions found during much of the summer. Rainfall was above normal during May, Jun and Aug. The constant rainfall resulted in continual infections of fabrea leaf spot, which eventually defoliated several of the plots.

The Agri-Mek plus oil applications provided the best control of pear psylla and pear rust mite throughout the season. Metasystox-R provided suppression of the pear rust mite but not of the pear psylla. The combination of increased pear psylla and pear rust mite activity in the other plots resulted in an increased incidence of fabrea leaf spot in these plots. Defoliation was quite common at the end of August in the check, EF-667, and DB-8518829 treatments.

		PRM	71.03	73.7a	396.0a	475.0a	315.0a	5.3a	12.0a
s or shoots	20.km	Fons	650.38	425.3a	571.7a	458.7a	627.7a	197.7a	308.7a
PRMI/ 5 spur		Nymphs	119.7a	24.0a	90.0a	67.0a	103.3a	55.0a	81.0a
rust mites (F		PRM			60.7a	252.6a 159.0a67.0a	52.0a	16.7a	91.3a
ids & Pear	8 Jun	Eggs	320.0a	152.0a	270.3a	252.6a	188.3a	193.0a	330.3a
Mean no. Pear psylla nymphs or edgs & Pear rust mites (PRM)/ 5 spurs or shoots		Nymphs	57.0a17.3a	5.0a11.0a	25.0a14.0a	31.0a13.7a	29.0a6.3a 188.3a	31.3a	23.0a
ear psylla		PRM	57.0a	5.0a	25.0a	31.0a	29.0a	8.3a	0.3a
/lean no. P	25 May	Eggs	27.7a	13.0a	14.3a	3.3a	5.0a	2.7a	1.7a
2		Nymphs	14.7a	8.3a	ul 8.3a	8.0a	12.3a	40.3a	28.0a
	Application	dates	Aetasystox-R 2SC16.0 oz14 Jun Guthion 35W8.6 oz14 May, 7 Jun Mitac 1.5 EC16.0 oz4 Jul14.7a	EF-667 5% EC4.8 oz2 May,14 Jun, 4 Jul Guthion 35W8.6 oz14 May, 7 Jun	DB-8518829 10SC2.4 oz2 May, 14 Jun,4 Jul Guthion 35W8.6 oz14 May, 7 Jun	F-667 5% EC4.8 0z14 Jun, 4 Jul Guthion 35W8.6 oz14 May, 7 Jun		Agri-Mek .15EC2.5 oz14 Jun, 4 Jul Clean Crop Supreme Oil32.0 oz14 Jun, 4 Jul Guthion 35W8.6 oz14 May. 7 Jun	
	Rate form	/100 gal.		4.8 oz 8.6 oz	2.4 oz 8.6 oz	4.8 0z 8.6 oz	2.4 oz 8.6 oz	2.5 oz e Oil32.0 oz 8.6 oz	5.0 oz e Oil32.0 oz 8.6 oz
		Treatment	1. Metasystox-R 2SC16.0 oz14 Jun Guthion 35W8.6 oz14 May, 7 Jun Mitac 1.5 EC16.0 oz4 Jul	2. EF-667 5% EC4.8 oz2 May,14 Jun, 4 Jul Guthion 35W8.6 oz14 May, 7 Jun	3. DB-8518829 10SC Guthion 35W	4. EF-667 5% EC4.8 0z14 Jun, 4 Jul Guthion 35W8.6 oz14 May, 7 Jun	5. DB-8518829 10SC	6. Agri-Mek .15EC2.5 oz14 Jun, 4 Jul Clean Crop Supreme Oil32.0 oz14 Jun, 4 Jul Guthion 35W8.6 oz14 May. 7 Jur	7. Agri-Mek .15EC5.0 oz14 Jun, 4 Jul Clean Crop Supreme Oil32.0 oz14 Jun, 4 Jul Guthion 35W8.6 oz14 May, 7 Jun

Pi Pi		Fans	299.0a	457.0a	672.3a	334.7a	699.7a	270.3a	315 32	310.02	012.00	
ots	NA P	Nymohs						132.7a	110.0a	•		
urs or shoc		PRM	24.3a.	509.0b	1719.3b.	649.0D	582.UD.	2.3a	2.0a	728.35	10(X+1)	<u> </u>
PRMI/5 sp	24 Jul	Eggs	160.0a	203.3a	522.0a	102.38	292.3a	196.0a	151.0a	229.3a	med to log)
Mean no. Pear psylla nymphs or eggs & Pear rust mite (PRM)/ 5 spurs or shoots		Nymphs	29.0a	94.3a	/4.0a	BU./2	0038	19.3a	50.7a	20.7a	ata were transform	
la nymphs or eggs		PRM	14.7ab	306.0b	440.00	1030.0D	30.7 dD	0.0a	0.0a	169.0ab	< 0.05; LSD). Da	
D. Pear psyl	13Jul	Eggs	45.7a	217.3cd	454.70 404.950	348.000	240.000	Z0.0a	54.7ab	185.7cd	different (p	
Meann		Nymphs	529.0a5.7a	429.3a21./a	20.04 00.04		0000		307.0a17.3a	242.7a34.3a	e not significantly	
	un	Eggs	529.0a	429.38	554 Oa	288.33	100 70	130./d	307.0a	242.7a	ame letter an	ented.
	30.1	Nymphs	134.0a	140.73	175.33	155 0a	36.03	20.00		100.3a	ollowed by the sa	data are prese
	8	Treatment	1134.0a	3	4	5	36.03	7	7	o100.3a	Treatment means followed by the same letter are not significantly different (p< 0.05; LSD). Data were transformed to log (X + 1) for analysis.	Untransformed data are presented.

41.7a

417.0a

27.3a.....101.7a

293.0a

5.0a.

2.7a

..19.0a

Check.

ωi

Untransformed data are presented.

PEAR: Pyrus communis

Comstock mealybug: Pseudococcus comstocki (Kuwana)

R. W. Weires & D. Scott Lawson Hudson Valley Laboratory N.Y.S. Agric. Exp. Station Highland, New York, 12528

PEAR, COMSTOCK MEALYBUG CONTROL, MARLBORO, NEW YORK, 1989: A twenty-five-year-old pear block containing the "Bosc" and "Bartlet" cultivars was located near Marlboro. NY, and had a recent history of infection by comstock mealybug. The trees were spaced 17 by 20 ft, were 16 feet high, and 12.5 ft wide. The block was divided into 9-12 tree (.07-.09 acre) plots, arranged in a 3x3 or 3x4 configuration, so that trees would serve as buffers during airblast sprays. Two airblast treatments and a check were arranged in a randomized block design with three replicates. Situated within the check plot borders were single tree plots replicated three times for the Lorsban handgun applications. This was done so that as little fruit as possible would be destroyed at harvest since Lorsban was not registered for use on pears. San Jose scale crawler traps (black plastic tape wrapped arround the trunk or branches of a tree and coated with a thin strip of cockstop grease down the middle of the tape) were deployed at petal fall throughout the orchard, and checked on a weekly to bi-weekly basis for comstock mealybug activity. Mealybug activity was first noted on 10 Jul, and the first treatments were applied on 15 Jul. Dilute rates were concentrated two times and delivered at per acre rates of 180 (15 Jul) and 284 (27 Jul) gal per acre with a Friend® airblast sprayer traveling at 2.5 mph. This resulted in per acre rates of 64.0 oz (15 Jul) and 91.0 oz (27 Jul) of formulated Penncap M, and 72.0 oz (15 Jul) and 117.0 oz (27 Jul) of formulated Sevin 80S. Per acre rates of formulated Lorsban 50W were 6.4 lb (15 Jul) and 6.8 lb (27 Jul) based on applying 5 gal spray/tree of the 1.0 lb/100 gal dilute spray. The grower applied Penncap M in a single application to the remainder of the block at the rate of 106.6 oz of formulated material per acre on 17 Jul. Additional applications over the entire block made by the grower with an airblast sprayer using 80 gal spray/acre at 2.5 mph included: Guthion 35W 1.5 lb/acre, 26 Apr, 20 May, and 8 Jun; Manzate 200 4.5 lb/acre, 26 Apr, 8 Jun, and 8 Jul; Mitac 1.5E 4.5 pt/acre, 22 Jun, 8 Jul, and 16 Aug; Carzol 92SP 1.0 lb/acre, 20 May; Morestan 25W 4.5 lb/acre, 26 Apr; Captan 50W 6.0 lb/acre, 17 Jul, and 16 Aug; and Imidan 50W 3.0 lb/acre, 16 Aug. Comstock mealybug was evaluated in the block on 26 Jul and again on 23 Aug by harvesting 50 "Bartlett" fruits from a tree in the middle of each plot. The fruits were brought back to the laboratory where they were cut in half and the calyx end of each half examined for the presence of live or dead mealybugs.

The Lorsban applied by handgun sprayer resulted in the most complete control of comstock mealybug at both evaluation times. At the first evaluation most of the mealybug infested fruit which had been treated with the Lorsban contained dead larvae. The two applications of Penncap provided slightly better control of the mealybug than did the single application applied by the grower. The slightly higher rate used in the second application as well as the increased spray gallonage improved the control of both the Penncap and Sevin compared with the first evaluation. Overall, the Sevin applications were least effective for mealybug control.

	Fruit % Clean	87.3	53.3	100.0	74.0	34.0
26.30	Iybu	10.0	26.0	0.0	20.0	20.6
	% Comstock mea	72.72.7	36.021.3	85.30.0	6.0	45.3
	% Clean fruit	72.7	36.0	85.3		23.3
26Jul	mstock mealybug Infested Fruit % Clean mealy bugs Dead mealybug fruit	16.0	13.3	14.7		2.7
	% Comstock mealy Live mealy	11.3	51.0	1.0	6.8 oz 27 Jul 4. Penncap M*** 106.6 oz 17 Jul	74.0
	Rate Form Application % Cor	15 Jul	91.0 oz 27 Jul .72.0 oz 15 Jul	117.0oz27 Jul 6.4 oz15 Jul	6.8 oz 27 Jul 6.6 oz 17 Jul	
	Rate Form /Acre	64.0 oz	91.0 oz 72.0 oz	117.0oz	6.8 oz 106.6 oz	
	Treatment	1. Penncap M*64.0 oz 15 Jul	91.0 oz 27 Jul 2. Sevin 80S*72.0 oz 15 Jul	117.0oz27 Jul 3. Lorsban 50W**6.4 oz 15 Jul	4. Penncap M***	5. Check.

* Applied by airblast sprayer
** Applied by handgun.
***Applied by airblast sprayer (grower's).