## COMPARISON OF INSECTICIDES AGAINST WOOLLY APPLE APHID, 2018

## A. Agnello,

Cornell University
New York State Agriculture Experiment Station
630 West North Street
Geneva, NY 14456
Ph (315) 787-2341

Fax: (315) 788-2326

E-mail: ama4@cornell.edu

## **David Combs**

E-mail: dbc10@cornell.edu

Woolly apple aphid (WAA): Eriosoma lanigerum (Hausmann)

A field trial was conducted in the 2018 growing season to test the efficacy of insecticides with activity against woolly apple aphid (WAA). Treatments were arranged in a RCB design and replicated three times in 'McIntosh' and 'Delicious' cultivars. These plots were sprayed using airblast applications at 90 gpa. A full list of treatments including materials used, application timings and rates is listed in Table 1. Three applications of Mesurol 75W (12.0 oz/A) were applied to the test orchard on 30 May, 11 Jun and 25 Jun in an attempt to flare WAA populations in the test orchard. WAA was sampled pre and post-application (approx. every 7 days PT) to determine efficacy of materials used. There are no recommended treatment threshold levels for WAA in NY apple orchards, so treatments were applied at first cover application timing, or when WAA were first observed, or approximately 15% infestation. Plots were sampled by counting the presence or absence of WAA colonies on 100 terminals in each replicate. Data was transformed and subjected to an AOV with JMP. Means were separated with Student's t test.

As in previous years in this test orchard, Mesurol applications worked very well for building WAA populations quickly. This is likely due to the material eliminating parasites and predators of WAA in an orchard that does not receive any other insecticides than what is in this trial. All treatments worked very well to reduce populations in 2018. The prophylactic treatment of Movento 240SC at 1<sup>st</sup> cover held WAA to populations to zero for 4 weeks post application, at which time Sivanto Prime was applied when aerial colonies appeared. Closer 5SC was applied at a 15% infestation level, plus 14d with and without LI-700. Both of these treatments were statistically as effective as the Movento/Sivanto treatment except for one sample date, which was taken shortly after the 15% threshold had been reached. The untreated plot eventually fell to a zero level of infestation, which would indicate that the effect of the Mesurol had dissipated and WAA predators and parasites had returned to the test orchard.

Table 1

Treatment/formulation	Rate amt/acre	Timing	Application Dates			
Closer 5SC+	5.75 oz	15% infestation + 14d	6 Jul, 19 Jul			
Closer 5SC+ LI-700	5.75 oz 32.0 oz	15% infestation + 14d	6 Jul, 19 Jul			
Movento 240 SC+ LI-700	9.0 oz 32.0 oz	Approximately 1st Cover	11 Jun			
Sivanto LI-700	14.0 oz 32.0 oz	1 <sup>st</sup> aerial colony +14d	11 Jul, 26 Jul			
Untreated Check						

Table 2

		% WAA Infested Terminals										
Treatment/for	rm Rate amt/A	30 May	5 Jun	13 Jun	19 Jun	25 Jun	3 Jul	9 Jul	16 Jul	23 Jul	30 Jul	6 Aug
Closer 5SC+	5.75 oz	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.3 a	0.0 a	7.0 bc	3.7 b	7.3 b	0.7 b	0.7 a	0.3 a
Closer 5SC+ LI-700	5.75 oz 32.0 oz	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.7 a	18.0 a	3.3 b	7.7 b	4.7 b	3.0 a	0.0 a
Movento 240 : LI-700 Sivanto+ LI-700	SC+9.0 oz 32.0 oz 14.0 oz 32.0 oz	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.7 b	5.0 b	6.0 b	6.7 a	0.3 a
Untreated Che	ck	0.0 a	0.0 a	0.0 a	1.7 a	0.3 a	16.3 ab	28.7 a	38.7 a	36.0 a	19.0 a	0.7 a

Means within a column followed by the same letter are not significantly different (Student's t Test,  $P \le 0.05$ ).

